

2023-07-10 Subpoena Court

This case will be handled by attorney at law A.G.W. van Kessel of the law firm Van Kessel Advocatuur, with offices in Leeuwarden (8938 AG) at Orionweg 47E and by attorney at law P.W.H. Stassen, associated with the law firm Stassen & Kemps advocaten in (5611 CV) Eindhoven at Nachtegaallaan 6;

On this day, ..... July two thousand and twenty three, at the request of

1. Mr. ...., residing at .....
2. Mrs. ...., residing at .....
3. Mr. ...., residing at .....
4. Ms. ...., residing at .....
5. Mr. ...., residing at .....
6. Ms. ...., residing at .....
7. Mr. ...., residing at .....

All having domicile in this matter at the office addresses of the law firms listed at the top of this summons, the above-named attorneys appearing for them in these proceedings.

SUMMARY:

TO PROCEED, WITHIN FOURTEEN DAYS AFTER SERVICE OF THIS WRIT OF SUMMONS, WITH A WRITTEN AND UNCONDITIONAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THE PRIMARILY CLAIMED DECLARATORY JUDGMENT BY ISSUING A WRITTEN SIGNED STATEMENT FOR THAT PURPOSE TO THE PLAINTIFFS' LAWYERS BY SENDING IT TO P.O. BOX 7004 5605 JA EINDHOVEN, THE NETHERLANDS.

ALL THIS WITH THE EXPLICIT NOTICE THAT IF THIS SUMMONS IS NOT COMPLIED WITH, THE PRESENT WRIT WILL BE BROUGHT BEFORE THE COURT AS A WRIT OF SUMMONS, AND THE ABOVE-MENTIONED DEBTOR WILL BE LIABLE IF HE DOES NOT COMPLY WITH THE ABOVE-MENTIONED SUMMONS OR DOES NOT COMPLY WITH IT IN TIME,

DENIED:

(public officials)

1. Mr. EVERHARDUS ITE HOFSTRA, residing at ..... (.....), municipality of ....., at ....., there at this address doing my writ and leaving a copy of this writ to:

2. Mr. JAAP TAMINO VAN DISSEL, residing at (..... ..) ..... to the ....., serving my writ at this address and leaving a copy of this writ to:

3. Ms. MARIA PETRONELLA GERARDA KOOPMANS, residing at (..... ..) ....., municipality of ....., at ....., there at this address serving my writ and leaving a copy of this writ to:

4. Mr. MARK RUTTE, born ..... 1967 in The Hague, according to verification with the common basic administration residing in the municipality of The Hague at an address of which the appellant above-mentioned has requested the municipality to keep this address secret from third parties, for which reason I do not mention that secret address in this writ, serving my writ there at the secret address and leaving a copy of this writ to: 5:

5. Mrs. SIGRID AGNES MARIA KAAG, born .....1961 in Rijswijk (ZH), residing in the municipality of The Hague at an address which the above-mentioned appellant has requested the municipality to keep secret from third parties, for which reason I do not mention that secret address in this writ, serving my writ at the secret address there and leaving a copy of this writ to: 6:

6. Mr. HUGO MATTHEÛS DE JONGE, residing at (..... ....) ..... at ....., serving my writ at this address and leaving a copy of this writ to:

7. Mr ERNST JOHAN KUIPERS, born ..... 1959 in Meppel, according to verification with the common basic administration, residing in the municipality of \_\_\_\_\_ at an address which the appellant above-mentioned has requested the municipality to keep secret from third parties, for which reason I do not mention that secret address in this writ, serving my writ there at the secret address and leaving a copy of this writ to:

8. Mr. DIEDERIK ANTONIUS MARIA PAULUS JOHANNES GOMMERS, residing at (..... ..) ..... at the ....., there serving my writ and leaving a copy of this writ to:

9. Mr. WOPKE BASTIAAN HOEKSTRA, born ..... 1975 in Bennekom, municipality of Ede, according to verification with the common basic administration, residing in the municipality of \_\_\_\_\_ at an address which the appellant aforementioned has requested the municipality to keep secret from third parties, for which reason I do not mention that secret address in this writ, serving my writ there at the secret address and leaving a copy of this writ to: 10:

10. CORNELIA VAN NIEUWENHUIZEN, born in Ridderkerk on ..... 1963, living in the municipality of \_\_\_\_\_ at an address which the above-mentioned appellant has requested the municipality to keep secret from third parties, for which reason I do not mention that secret address in this writ, serving my writ at the secret address and leaving a copy of this writ to: 10:

(pharmaceutical industry)

11. Mr. ALBERT BOURLA, born on ..... 1961 in Thessaloniki, Greece and residing in United States of America at ....., NY 10001, pursuant to Section 55 Rv. .....

(mass media)

12. Ms. GISELLE JACQUELINE MARIE-THÉRÈSE VAN CANN, residing at .....  
(..... ..) ....., there at this address doing my writ and leaving a copy of this writ to:

13. Mr PAUL JANSEN, born ..... 1967 in Zutphen, with no known residence or domicile:

(non-governmental organization (NGO, WEF)).

14. Mr FEIKE SIJBESMA, born ..... 1959, residing at ..... at  
(.....) ....., there at this address doing my writ and leaving a copy of this writ to:

15. Mr. WILLIAM HENRY BILL GATES III, born in Seattle, United States of America  
on ..... 1955, residing at ....., Washington, United States of America.

(semi-government)

16. Ms. AGNES CATHARINA VAN DER VOORT-KANT, born on ..... 1967 in Hessisch-  
Oldendorf, Germany, with no known residence or domicile:

(government)

17. De STAAT DER NEDERLANDEN, een publiekrechtelijke rechtspersoon, zetiende te 's-Gravenhage ("de Staat"), op de voet van artikel 48 Rv. het prosecutor's office at the Hoge Raad der Nederlanden, gevestigd te (2511 CB) 's-Gravenhage aan het Korte Voorhout 8 en hiervan afschrift laten aan:

on Wednesday \_\_\_\_\_ 2023 at 10.00 a.m., not in person, but represented by a lawyer, to appear at the hearing of the District Court of Noord-Nederland, Leeuwarden location, at the aforementioned time there sitting in judgment in this case, which hearing will be held in the court building at Zaailand 102 in (8911 BN) Leeuwarden.

WITH NOTICE THAT:

- a. if a defendant fails to provide a lawyer or fails to pay the court fee to be mentioned below in due time, and the prescribed time limits and formalities have been observed, the court will grant default of appearance against that defendant and award the claim described below, unless it appears to him to be unlawful or unfounded;
- b. if at least one of the defendants appears in the proceedings and has paid the court fee in due time, a single judgment shall be rendered between all parties, which shall be deemed to be a judgment adversary;

- c. upon appearance in the proceedings a court registry fee will be charged from each of the defendants, to be paid within four weeks from the time of appearance;
- d. the amount of the court fees is stated in the most recent appendix to the Civil Cases Court Fees Act, which can be found on the website: [www.kbvg.nl/griffierechtentabel](http://www.kbvg.nl/griffierechtentabel), among others.
- e. from a person who is insolvent, a court fee for insolvent persons established by or pursuant to the law is levied, if at the time the court fee is levied he has submitted:
- 1st a copy of the decision for an addition, referred to in Article 29 of the Legal Aid Act, or if this is not possible due to circumstances that cannot reasonably be attributed to him, a copy of the application, referred to in Article 24(2) of the Legal Aid Act, or
- 2e a statement from the board of the Legal Aid Board, as referred to in Article 7(3)(e) of the Legal Aid Act, showing that his income does not exceed the income referred to in the order in council under Article 35(2) of that Act;
- f. from defendants who appear before the same lawyer and make identical submissions or present identical defenses, a joint court fee shall be levied only once on the basis of Section 15 of the Civil Registration Fees Act;

RESOLVED:

Then on the grounds below and on behalf of the plaintiffs, hereinafter collectively referred to as "M..... c.s.," claim and conclude as follows:

Contents

Definitions and reader's guide

Definitions

Reading guide

Productions / USB stick

Introduction

Core of this case

Importance and purpose of this case

Claims

Defendants

Government officials

Hofstra



Van Dissel

Koopmans

Rutte

Kaag

Jonge

Kuipers

Gommers

Hoekstra

Van Nieuwenhuizen

Pharmaceutical industry

Bourla

Mass media

Van Cann

Jansen

Non-governmental organization (NGO, WEF)

Sijbesma

Gates

Semi government

Side

Lareb does not provide data using batch numbers

Kant conducts cover-up for benefit of Covid-19 project

Kant's cover-up

Government

Examples of excesses

WEF partner Gates invests in Dutch WEF partner Heineken

The State and its officials violate the rule of law's fundamental

Article 162 of the Code of Criminal Procedure

The State threatens via national television unvaccinated citizens of the Netherlands with death.

Plaintiffs, damages

Unlawful conduct by defendants

Infringement of a right

Acting in violation of a legal duty

Acting in violation of what is proper under unwritten law in society propriety.

Establishment of liability, *conditio sine qua non*

Group liability

Offer of proof

Jurisdiction of the District Court of North Netherlands, location Leeuwarden

Petitum

Definitions and reader's guide

Definitions

In the text of this writ a number of terms are defined. To avoid confusion, a number of central terms are already set out and explained here.

**Covid-19:** Where this subpoena refers to Covid-19, this always refers to the WHO-proposed life-threatening lung disease caused by the alleged Sars-Cov-2 virus. The use of the term Covid-19 in this subpoena in no way implies that plaintiffs acknowledge that there was life-threatening lung disease caused by a dangerous pathogen. Nor does the use of the term Covid-19 mean that plaintiffs acknowledge the existence of the Sars-Cov-2 virus. On the contrary, plaintiffs believe that Covid-19 is a project, deployed by NGOs and funded by the World Bank which project is being implemented by defendants, among others.

**Pandemic:** Wherever reference is made in this subpoena to a pandemic, this always refers to the WHO's pretended Covid-19 pandemic. Thus, the use of the term pandemic in this subpoena in no way implies that plaintiffs acknowledge that there was a health risk caused by a dangerous pathogen. On the contrary, plaintiffs believe that any evidence to that effect is lacking.

Covid-19 vaccine / Covid-19 injection: Wherever this subpoena refers to a Covid-19 vaccine or a Covid-19 injection, it is always intended to refer to the injection fluid that defendants claim is a drug that would be safe and effective in the context of protecting the purported Covid-19 disease. The use of the term Covid-19 vaccine or Covid-19 injection in this subpoena in no way implies that plaintiffs acknowledge the existence of a drug. On the contrary, plaintiffs believe that there is no evidence of the medicinal effect of the Covid-19 vaccine making it not a drug.

#### Reader's Guide

With regard to the facts presented in this subpoena with respect to the defendants, it is to be noted that M..... c.s. state and also offer to provide further evidence, if necessary, that at the time of the commission of their unlawful conduct, all defendants knew of all the facts and circumstances presented by M..... c.s. in this subpoena.

In order to avoid repetition, with respect to the individual defendants, mainly those facts and circumstances will be put forward separately that concern them in particular. The order in which the defendants' unlawful conduct is described in this summons has been deliberately chosen with the aim of avoiding a flood of information. For these reasons, plaintiffs urge you to read this subpoena in the order presented and in its entirety in order to gain a complete picture of the contentions that plaintiffs base the wrongful conduct against each of the defendants.

#### Productions / USB stick

As for the productions submitted, a number of them consist of video material. In connection with this, this subpoena is accompanied by a USB stick containing the complete subpoena including all productions and the video material arranged by production number.

If, when submitting the paper productions, only a few pages of a document are submitted and on the USB stick the complete document is submitted, then it has to apply that M..... c.s. hereby submits the complete document placed on the USB stick as production and evidence to your court.

Of all videos, a download suitable for Windows media player has been placed on the USB stick. In their designation, reference has always been made to the corresponding production number. With regard to the submission of video material by M..... et al. it is expressly noted that M..... et al. submit the entire video material placed on the USB stick to your court as production and evidence.

#### Introduction

Core of this case.

The worldwide official narrative regarding Covid-19 is that there is a Covid-19 pandemic caused by a new coronavirus called Sars-Cov-2. On February 28, 2020, this global official narrative was introduced in the Netherlands by the OMT as part of the RIVM. Following this introduction of this narrative, the RIVM reported in its letter to the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport of February 28, 2020, among other things, the following:

'... The virus has now been named SARS-CoV-2, the disease is called Covid-19...'

The letter from RIVM to the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport dated February 28, 2020 is submitted as PRODUCTION 1. In an open letter on the WHO website dated March 12, 2020, the

WHO informs the world that there is a so-called Covid-19 pandemic and that it is working with the World Economic Forum (WEF) to get the private sector to play a role in it. As PRODUCTION 2, M..... et al. submit a copy of the open letter on the WHO website dated March 12, 2020. According to the official narrative, this pandemic could only be fought if everyone is vaccinated against it with Covid-19 injections. Failure to vaccinate everyone would particularly endanger the most vulnerable[1]. Vaccination would be the only way out of the pandemic, and those who got vaccinated therefore did so not only for themselves, but especially for another. The message has always been that the Covid-19 injections were extensively tested, safe and effective.

This narrative, according to defendants, forms the basis of their actions. The Covid-19 policy based on this narrative has been unquestioningly accepted, embraced and implemented by defendants. All this was done without thorough social, scientific, economic and ethical debate. Defendants maintain that their actions based on this narrative are in the interest of humanity and in particular public health.

M..... et al. had Covid-19 injections because defendants gave them every incentive to do so. M..... c.s. relied on defendants because of their social positions, knowledge and responsibilities. In the absence of a thorough public debate about the accuracy of the narrative, there was no reason for M..... et al. to doubt that the Covid-19 injections were extensively tested, safe and effective, and having them put on would be a benefit to themselves and their fellow human beings.

The Covid-19 injections resulted in serious injury to M..... et al.

M..... c.s. started to question the narrative about the Covid-19 injections after the health damage occurred to them and many others around them. This prompted M..... et al. to begin their search for the true course of events surrounding the alleged pandemic.

Over time, an overwhelming amount of information has become available that makes it plausible that the narrative used by defendants cannot possibly be true. It also follows from that information that defendants must have known that this narrative was not true. M..... c.s. have thereby come to view the defendants' conduct in an entirely different perspective and conclude that the defendants acted unlawfully toward them. M..... c.s. will support their contentions in this regard with evidence in these proceedings.

M..... c.s. want your court to examine and decide on the merits of their claims on the basis of what they base their claims on in these proceedings.

Importance and purpose of this case

M..... c.s. are bringing these proceedings on the basis of their legal interest in obtaining the damages they have claimed and the declaratory judgment to be entered below.

The motivation of M..... c.s. to conduct these proceedings is partly based on the public interest in

the process of determining the truth, which is necessary to be able to decide on the claims of M..... c.s. in court. In view of this social interest, M..... c.s. intend to serve not only their own interest, but also and not least the interest of fellow human beings.

The aforementioned social interest cannot be overstated. The assertions of M..... et al. and defendants are incompatible and diametrically opposed. A similar contradiction is evident in society and is the cause of great social tension.

M..... c.s. want to uncover the truth about Covid-19 with the intervention of the judiciary.

M..... c.s. point out two important facts in the context of establishing the truth that the judiciary should not ignore. The first is the fact that all the defendants are part of a larger entity that is mainly surrounded and run by NGOs. These private entities are numerous and share the common characteristic of presenting themselves as benefactors. The actions of this larger entity are largely led by defendant sub 15, hereinafter referred to as "Bill Gates." To that end, a partnership under the name Gavi, The Vaccine Alliance ("GAVI") was formed in the year 2000. GAVI's website[2] aptly depicts its influence and connection with all aspects concerning vaccination through the following image.

Governance

As a second important fact for the truth-finding process, M..... et al. point out that because of their global influence, the parties shown in the above image have it in their power to and have determined the narrative regarding Covid-19 and the Covid-19 injections.

Only the truth can eliminate the contradictions noted above and remove social tensions.

Claims

M..... c.s. seek a declaratory judgment that defendants are jointly and severally liable for all damages resulting from wrongful acts committed by defendants as a group and individually as described in this subpoena.

M..... et al. claim from defendants damages for their injury and property damage suffered as a result of the Covid-19 injections, to be made out by statute and settled in accordance with the Act.

Defendants

Government officials

Hofstra

Defendant sub 1, hereinafter referred to as: 'Hofstra' is attached in a personal capacity as an expert to the Outbreak Management Team (hereinafter referred to as: 'OMT') of the Rijksinstituut voor Veiligheid en Milieu (hereinafter referred to as: 'RIVM') and in that capacity also professionally attached as a doctor to the Gemeentelijke Gezondheidsdienst Fryslân[3] (hereinafter referred to as:

GGD). In combating infectious diseases in the Netherlands, the RIVM's Center for Infectious Disease Control (hereinafter: 'CIB') has an advisory and coordinating role. Hofstra is also president of the Dutch Association for Infectious Disease Control (NVIB) and is an advisor to the network center where Hofstra's work consists of writing scenarios for infectious disease exercises as well as supervising those exercises from a so-called response cell. As PRODUCTION 3, M..... c.s. submit the declaration of interests that Hofstra issued to the OMT, showing the aforementioned positions.

Through membership in the OMT, Hofstra had at his disposal all the information regarding Covid-19 and the virus Sars-Cov-2 that was necessary for the OMT to arrive at the best possible advice in terms of combating the pandemic[4]. This advice was always followed and resulted in the narrative whereby vaccination would be the only way out of the pandemic being accepted as unchallenged and true by M..... et al.

Hofstra's opinions were always in line with the final advice of the OMT. The OMT had no data from which, upon careful examination, the scientific conclusion could be drawn that there was a threat to public health that required government intervention, let alone mass Covid-19 injections. There was no excess mortality at the time these opinions were given. As PRODUCTION 4, plaintiffs hereby submit a data-statement taken from CBS showing no over-mortality.

Hofstra knew that the capacity of care was not compromised. To the extent that that capacity appeared to be limited at all, it was as a result of government policies regarding care including the use of an inappropriate PCR test and years of scaling down of care capacity. As PRODUCTION 5 information is submitted with regard to the reduction of the Dutch care capacity that started in 1972. It is hereby noted that as far as the extent of care capacity for the period after 2018 is concerned, no more data are made available from the government. Defendant sub 8 in his capacity as president of the intensive care association stated in an interview in 2021 that the number of IC beds had been reduced to a total of 950 beds. As PRODUCTION 6, plaintiffs hereby submit an article from the Telegraph dated October 21, 2021 showing this statement by Gommers. When defendant sub 6 took office in 2017, the Netherlands still had 2056 IC beds.

Hofstra is a key participant in the Covid-19 project to be described below. Hofstra has made his positions at the OMT, the NVIB and the Network Center subservient to the implementation of this Covid-19 project. This included writing scenarios for pandemic exercises held in connection with the Covid-19 project and, as chairman of the NVIB, leading a misguided pro Covid-19 vaccination campaign in cooperation with the GGDs for the benefit of the Covid-19 project. All this while Hofstra knew as a member of the OMT that the Covid-19 injections were not to serve the health of the Dutch population, including M..... c.s., but to enable the implementation of the Covid-19 project. These contentions will be substantiated in detail further in this subpoena.

Hofstra made every effort to ensure the success of the massive Covid-19 injection campaign on behalf of the Covid-19 project. In an open letter on the NVIB website dated April 17, 2021, Hofstra writes the following in this regard:

'...From the beginning of the pandemic, we at the GGDs have been continuously performing and scaling up source and contact investigations, testing, vaccinating and advising municipalities, institutions and all inhabitants of the Netherlands. In a very short time thousands of people have

been hired and trained at the GGDs to perform all tasks as well as possible. And everyone is working very hard to tell people that they have tested positive and to do source and contact research, or to explain to disappointed callers that unfortunately it is not yet their turn for a vaccination. These are sometimes not easy conversations...'

As PRODUCTION 7, M..... et al. submit a copy of Hofstra's open letter dated April 17, 2021.

It will be shown later in this subpoena that Hofstra deliberately misled the Dutch public, including M..... et al, with this open letter. In April 2021, Hofstra knew that the Covid-19 injections, at least certain batches of them, were life-threatening. Hofstra also knew at that time that the Covid-19 injections did not provide any protection against the alleged virus.

Hofstra should have spoken out against the advice of the OMT and also opposed, as a physician, the actions of the GGD in which citizens, including young people as young as 12, were urged to take Covid-19 injections at schools with a so-called "prophylactic canister" without parental consent. Because all this was advised by Hofstra, or at least he did not speak out against it, M..... et al. continued to follow the narrative and had the Covid-19 injections given.

Hofstra can be blamed for failing to take responsibility as a doctor, OMT member, chairman of the NVIB and advisor to the Network Center and, as a result, acting in a socially negligent manner towards M..... c.s., resulting in the damages suffered by M..... c.s. M..... c.s. would not (could not) have had the Covid-19 injections placed if Hofstra had performed his duty with the required social care. The OMT's advice would then have sounded different, or at least Hofstra would have publicly gone against this advice as a result of which M..... c.s. would have been adequately warned and would not have had the Covid-19 injections put in place.

#### Van Dissel

Defendant sub 2, hereinafter referred to as "Van Dissel," has been a director of the CIB since August 15, 2013. As indicated above, the CIB is part of the RIVM. In that capacity, Van Dissel chairs the OMT. According to the CIB's website, the CIB is the point of contact for the World Health Organization (hereinafter "WHO") and the Centers of Disease Control of the United States of America (hereinafter "CDC"). In the US, the CDC is equivalent to the Dutch RIVM. In that sense, Van Dissel, like the other defendants, is connected to the larger whole as described in this subpoena. Van Dissel has always publicly knowingly and thereby intentionally defended the obviously unlawful advice of the OMT in the knowledge that this advice was wrong and harmful and would be followed by the vast majority of the Dutch population.

Given Van Dissel's expertise and the position he holds, Van Dissel instilled in M..... et al. great confidence in the narrative in which vaccination would be the only way out of the pandemic and Covid-19 vaccines would be safe and effective.

On the eve of the vaccination phase, it was Van Dissel who, as director of the RIVM, championed the large-scale rollout of vaccinations. In connection with this, Van Dissel deliberately collaborated

in misleading the Dutch population through the lie that vaccinations would create group immunity[5]. Van Dissel also facilitated the role of the RIVM as manager of the large-scale vaccination program. In doing so, Van Dissel expressed a desire that all vulnerable people be vaccinated as soon as possible. Submitted as PRODUCTION 8 is an online article from RTL news dated December 9, 2020 showing this.

Van Dissel can be blamed for not having taken his responsibility as chairman of the OMT and therefore for having acted in a socially careless manner towards M..... c.s. resulting in the damages suffered by M..... c.s. M..... c.s. would not (could not) have had the Covid-19 injections placed if Van Dissel had performed his duty with the required social care. The OMT's advice would then have sounded different, or at least Van Dissel would have publicly gone against this advice, as a result of which M..... c.s. would have been adequately warned and would not have had the Covid-19 injections administered.

Koopmans

Defendant sub 3, hereinafter referred to as "Koopmans," is a professor of virology by profession at Erasmus MC in Rotterdam. In addition, Koopmans has been head of the so-called viroscience department (virus science department) at Erasmus MC since 2006. Koopmans is a regular invited expert of the OMT. Koopmans joined the WHO as a member from 2014. Koopmans has always publicly supported the opinions of the OMT. During the period when Koopmans supported the aforementioned opinions, she was appointed by the WHO as a researcher and in charge of the research that took place in Wuhan and focused on the origin of the Sars-Cov-2 virus. The WHO terminated this appointment after journalists from the United States found out that Koopmans had served on the CDC in Guangdong, China and in that position had advised on building laboratory capacity for the detection of emerging infectious diseases and had various collaborations in China on research into virus emergence. All of this had been publicly concealed by Koopmans. As PRODUCTION 9, plaintiffs submit a journalistic article about it written by Luis Miguel and posted on The New American's website on Dec. 12, 2021.

Koopmans closely followed the trials conducted by Moderna and Pfizer for their Covid-19 vaccines. In this regard, plaintiffs refer to the April 10, 2020 interview Koopmans gave to Mr. Bohlmeijer, Good Conversations correspondent[6]. Koopmans as a prominent member of the WHO and the OMT has all the front-line information regarding the aforementioned trials. As a result, Koopmans knew that Moderna had already started its Covid-19 vaccine trials in 2017 and Pfizer had already started its Covid-19 trials four days after the Chinese government released to the world the genetic code of the purported Sars-Cov-2 virus on January 8, 2020. Plaintiffs will elaborate on the evidence for this claim in this subpoena. Thus, Koopmans knew that Covid-19 was a project and not a disease. Nevertheless, to implement this Covid-19 project, Koopmans followed the official narrative of its major client, WHO.

As far as Koopmans' information position is concerned, it is particularly important that Koopmans had access to the then still secret Pfizer safety reports, including the Pfizer report FDA-CBER-2021-5683-0000054. It follows, among other things, from the latter report that even before February 28, 2021, over 42,000 cases had been reported to Pfizer, including 1,223 deaths and 11,361 cases of serious and permanent injury. Koopmans concealed this extremely disturbing



information from the public. This report will be discussed later in this subpoena and will be submitted as production 40.

Disinforming the public by concealing important information and spreading misinformation is a manipulation technique that has been frequently used by defendants. What is malicious and bizarre is that the very defendants, including Koopmans in particular from the Dutch branch of Gavi, namely the Vaccination Alliance in the last quarter of 2019, established a "Denktank Desinformatie". The participants in that Denktank Desinformatie concern exclusively persons who are strongly in favor of mass vaccination of people. By Koopmans, in her role as a participant in the Disinformation Think Tank, a "thinking framework" was developed and applied with the aim of guiding public debate. The direction being steered was exclusively pro mass vaccination. The thinking framework to shape that steering made use of the social polarization regarding whether or not to take Covid-19 injections. Following a third meeting of the Vaccination Alliance and the Disinformation Think Tank, a report was prepared. This report included the following quotes:

'...The think tank can take on multiple roles precisely because of the diverse profile of its members. To deliberately engage with the middle group, the right tone must be found. This is not only about facts, but precisely also about the (emotional) dynamics of the group. By recruiting the right influencers, with whom you can gain trust, this group will feel heard and understood...'

'... 4. Good to bet on several people who take position and show leadership, these can be experts from their professional background, but you can also use an authentic person (e.g. famous soccer player) to have a one-time message of 'I'll take the vaccine'...'

As PRODUCTION 10, M..... et al. submit the report of the third meeting of the Vaccination Alliance and the Disinformation Think Tank.

Koopmans concealed her role in the Think Tank Disinformation and concealed that it was through her and the influencers recruited by Think Tank Disinformation that the public debate pro mass vaccination was directed. Thereby, it is shocking to mention that Koopmans in her position as a member of the OMT had at her disposal the aforementioned Pfizer report FDA-CBER-2021-5683-0000054 and concealed from the public, including M..... c.s., precisely the information available therein and the conclusions to be drawn. As a result, in the opinion of M..... et al. it is established that Koopmans was spreading disinformation, and the very purpose of the Disinformation Think Tank was in fact to ensure that the public was falsely informed using influencers recruited for this purpose. All this in order to enable mass vaccination with Covid-19 injections - which Koopmans knew to be harmful - for the success of the Covid-19 project.

Koopmans can be blamed for not having fulfilled her social responsibility as a scientist and OMT and WHO member, and therefore for having acted in a socially negligent manner towards M..... c.s., resulting in the damages suffered by M..... c.s. M..... c.s. would not (could not) have had the Covid-19 injections administered if Koopmans had performed her duty with the required social care. The OMT's advice would then have sounded different, or at least Koopmans would have publicly gone against this advice, as a result of which M..... c.s. would have been adequately warned and would not have had the Covid-19 injections put in place.

Rutte

Defendant sub 4, hereinafter "Rutte" is Prime Minister of the Netherlands and a prominent member of the World Economic Forum (hereinafter "WEF"). As PRODUCTION 11, plaintiffs hereby submit the details of Rutte and his former employer Unilever as published on the WEF website and a number of photographs depicting the relations between Rutte and the WEF president, Mr. Klaus Schwab[7].

Schwab is Rutte's superior. This is evident in several letters from Schwab to Rutte, among others. As an important example of such a letter, M..... et al. submit as PRODUCTION 12 a letter from Schwab to Rutte dated October 21, 2020. This letter shows that ideas and plans are being forged from the WEF to reform the so-called post Covid-19 world. Schwab instructs Rutte in this letter to ensure that the Netherlands leads these reforms in Europe. These reforms have been referred to above as the Covid-19 project. The Covid-19 injections are crucial here. Rutte has accepted and is carrying out this assignment on behalf of the WEF, which explains why Rutte is recommending Covid-19 injections to the Dutch people, including M..... et al.

Rutte, in collaboration with defendants, especially defendant sub 6, systematically put out the narrative in many public speeches, abusing his office as prime minister. Rutte was guilty of the "you're doing it for someone else doctrine" by which people who made the perfectly normal choice to continue their lives in a manner customary for a flu season were portrayed as irresponsible and harmful to society. The Covid-19 measures taken under Rutte's leadership had nothing to do with public health, but with the implementation of the Covid-19 project. Rutte's portrayal of the Netherlands as a "sick patient" and the Covid-19 measures - centered on the Covid-19 vaccination - as a "medicine," were ingredients that Rutte misused to mislead the Dutch population, including M..... et al. so that they would accept the Covid-19 measures. M..... c.s. cite the following examples.

During the February 23, 2021 press conference, Rutte stated, among other things, the following. '... It remains incredibly exciting what we are doing today, even though they may seem like small steps. We have to be very aware of that. That is precisely why those ground rules[8] are so important. And that is precisely why it is so important that we continue full steam ahead on the path that Hugo de Jonge will now update you on. The road that via testing and vaccinating hopefully leads to a summer with far fewer restrictions...'

Following this, De Jonge brought up the following about the road that Rutte said should be hoped for:

' ... With the start of vaccinating, the end of the crisis has begun, but the end itself that will take some time. That way out of the crisis goes over three tracks. Until enough people are vaccinated, measures and intensive testing remain necessary. That with the numbers slowly increasing yet again we are making more possible is, Mark said, incredibly exciting....

Vaccinating. That is going faster and faster. The millionth shot has been taken. We expect to do the two millionth in mid-March and by the end of March the April border, we'll be at three million - if supplies are good, of course, because the speed at which the vaccines come in determines the speed

at which we can vaccinate.

There are also hopeful initial signs of how the vaccines protect against transmission of the virus'

As PRODUCTION 13, plaintiffs submit information regarding the press conference given by Rutte and De Jonge on February 23, 2021.

During Rutte and De Jonge's May 11, 2021 press conference, they explain "Step 2 of the opening plan for society. Among other things, Rutte states the following.

'... You know we are on our way to a hopefully beautiful summer thanks to vaccinations. Hugo de Jonge will say more about that in a moment and also about our expectations for the summer vacations and the corresponding travel advice...'

Following this, De Jonge brought up the following about expectations for the summer vacations.

'... A beautiful summer just might be. The vaccination campaign is well underway. This week the seven millionth shot will be taken and by the second half of May [2021[9]] all people who are sixty years of age or older and all people at high medical risk - such as people with leukemia or people with Down's syndrome - will have had their first vaccination. And that's an important milestone that we've been working toward ...

And in addition, we have succeeded in offering a first vaccine to everyone who works with vulnerable people in healthcare ... '

As PRODUCTION 14, plaintiffs submit information related to the press conference given by Rutte and De Jonge on May 11, 2021, also as an example of a similar press conference with set-up in the United Kingdom.

Rutte knew that the narrative regarding the so-called Covid-19 pandemic was not true and that Covid-19 injections - particularly certain batches - cause serious bodily harm. In reality, the hope portrayed by Rutte was nothing more than a way to deceive the Dutch people in order for the Covid-19 project to succeed. Rutte's deception was unprecedentedly vicious, especially since at the time of his statements quoted above, he was already aware that in the Pfizer trial (Pfizer report FDA-CBER-2021-5683-0000054) cited above with Koopmans, more than 1,200 people had already been reported dead at that time, and according to reports, more than 11,000 people had suffered serious permanent physical injury after taking the Covid-19 injections.

On Oct. 10, 2022, an interrogation took place in the European Parliament in which Ms. Janine Small, a director of Pfizer, formally admitted before the hearing panel that Pfizer never tested the Covid-19 vaccine for transmission prevention. Rutte is familiar with this. Rutte also knew by this that the "you're doing it for someone else" doctrine he propagated is a lie and is purely designed to use psychological deception to induce people to get Covid-19 injections. As PRODUCTION 15, plaintiffs hereby submit a portion of the interrogation of Pfizer and the short interview of

Ongehoord Nederland dated October 12, 2022 in which Rutte is confronted with Ms. Small's statement, dismisses it as nonsense and indicates that he still stands behind the Covid-19 vaccinations and advises everyone to 'just' get vaccinated with it.

Rutte can be blamed for not having fulfilled his political and social responsibility as Prime Minister of The Netherlands and for having acted with social carelessness towards M..... et al. resulting in the damages suffered by M..... et al.

Rutte committed his unlawful conduct with the intention of supporting the interests of Schwab and WEF in the Covid-19 project. Article 97a Penal Code states:

'He who enters into contact with a person or body established abroad with the aim of inducing such a person or body to provide support for the preparation, promotion or bringing about of upheaval, to strengthen such a person or body in the intention conceived for this purpose, or to promise or provide assistance to such a person or body in this respect, or to prepare, promote or bring about upheaval, shall be punished with life imprisonment or temporary imprisonment for a term not exceeding thirty years or a fifth-category fine'

As indicated in the reading guide, all the imputed conduct is attributed to all defendants. Thus, what was noted above with respect to Article 97a of the Penal Code applies equally to Hofstra, Van Dissel and Koopmans.

M..... c.s. would not (could not) have had the Covid-19 injections put in place if Rutte had performed his duty with the required social care. The policy of the Dutch government would then have been different, or at least Rutte would have publicly gone against the narrative which would have adequately warned M..... et al. and would not have had the Covid-19 injections put in place.

Kaag

Defendant sub 5, hereinafter "Kaag" holds the office of Minister of Finance and is a prominent member of the WEF. Prior to assuming this office, Kaag worked primarily for the United Nations (hereinafter referred to as "UN") which, through its affiliate WHO, plays an important role in the larger picture described above. During her Ministership, Kaag started working for the WEF and is part of a so-called think tank there. In this think tank, plans are devised for the implementation of a total rearrangement of, among other things, Dutch society. This realignment is referred to by the WEF as "Covid-19: The Great Reset. In order to be able to implement the Great Reset, it is of great importance that in countries the 'Sustainable Development Goals' (hereafter referred to as SDGs), as included by the UN in Agenda 21 (from 1992) and the Agenda 2030 (from 2015), become normative for the rearrangement of societies in all UN member countries. Kaag's participation as a Dutch Minister in a think tank of an NGO, more specifically the WEF, is contrary to Kaag's constitutional position because the Great Reset is not in the interest of the Dutch people and therefore not in the interest of M..... et al. In addition, the plans and impact of the Great Reset on the entire Dutch people were never presented to the Dutch parliament. Conceiving and implementing the Covid-19 project and the Great Reset qualifies as an unlawful act by Kaag

towards M..... et al.

Kaag portrays herself as a Minister charged with coordinating the SDGs at the national level as part of the Great Reset and as part of that, she is a member of a stream of thinkers at the WEF. As PRODUCTION 16, plaintiffs submit a recording of an interview with Kaag in which Kaag presents herself as such. This recording also shows what The Great Reset will entail.

The Great Reset assumes that nothing is good anymore and everything will have to be done again - without the consent or consultation of the people -, differently and thus, according to the WEF, better. This same thinking is being imposed on the world by the UN through its Agenda 21 and Agenda 2030. The UN uses the slogan "Build Back Better. Worldwide, many politicians in positions similar to Kaag's have propagated this thinking and have used the slogan "Build Back Better. Kaag, as Foreign Minister, commits herself to this Build Back Better mandate from the WEF and the UN. As evidence of this, M..... et al. submit as PRODUCTION 17 a letter from Kaag dated May 27, 2021 to the WHO (as part of the UN and affiliated with the WEF) showing her broad support for the Build Back Better mandate.

The thinking of Kaag and her think tank and the many issues and systems that, according to that thinking of Kaag, need to be implemented in today's society have been visualized by the WEF in an image. This thinking is disseminated by the WEF through its website and the free downloadable[10] book Covid-19: The Great Reset by Klaus Schwab dated July 13, 2020. Schwab touted the Great Reset through a video message from the WEF in early June 2020. The aforementioned image showing the visualization of the ideas for which Kaag stands, an image and download of the book Covid-19: The Great Reset by Klaus Schwab as well as the aforementioned video message are submitted by plaintiffs as PRODUCTION 18.

A core condition included in the plans for the implementation of the Great Reset concerns vaccinations with Covid-19 injections for the entire population in order to counteract an "existential future health crisis" pretended in the official narrative of WHO and WEF. The WEF and WHO plans urge the population, especially the generation of Millennials and future generations, to call on the international community without delay to ensure vaccination for all. The message here is that no one will be safe until everyone is vaccinated. As PRODUCTION 19, plaintiffs submit two video messages from Klaus Schwab dated Jan. 26, 2021 and July 2022, respectively, in which Schwab articulates the thinking - for which Kaag advocates - indicating that no one will be safe until everyone is vaccinated.

Kaag, as Minister and member of the WEF, makes a strong case for these plans and thus also a strong case for Covid-19 injections. All this while Kaag knows that during the Pfizer trials mentioned several times in this subpoena, many people died and severe permanent physical injuries occurred to thousands of people.

The Covid-19 project is crucial to achieving the Great Reset advocated by Kaag and the other

defendants. In order to ensure that WEF can direct and monitor the Dutch government in the context of the Covid-19 project, the Ministry of Kaag, among others, through the Permanent Representation (PV), has ensured that information is exchanged directly between WEF and her Ministry. All this without informing the Dutch parliament. This makes it absolutely clear that Kaag as well as the other defendants are not serving the interests of the Dutch people and therefore not those of M..... et al. What was stated at Rutte about Article 97a Penal Code also applies to Kaag.

As PRODUCTION 20, plaintiffs submit information regarding the Representation of the Netherlands in Geneva and Kaag's answer to parliamentary questions in which she acknowledges her dual function.

Because of her background, functions and connections, Kaag, like all the other defendants, knows that a false narrative has been created. In particular, Kaag abused her office as Minister by actively participating in the implementation of the Great Reset and the Covid-19 project without parliamentary consent and control through, among others, the private NGO, the WEF.

Kaag can be blamed for not having fulfilled her political and social responsibility as a politician and Minister and for having acted in a socially careless manner towards M..... et al. resulting in the damages suffered by M..... et al. The policy of the Dutch government would not have come about without Kaag's wrongful conduct. Kaag should not have cooperated with the plans of the WEF and WHO and as a Dutch Minister should have publicly opposed the narrative, which she failed to do.

M..... et al. would not (could not) have had the Covid-19 injections put in place if Kaag had performed her duty with the required social due diligence.

De Jonge

Defendant sub 6, hereinafter referred to as "De Jonge" held the office of Minister of Health, Welfare and Sport from March 2020 to December 2021 and is a prominent member of the WEF. As PRODUCTION 21, plaintiffs submit a printout of a page from the WEF website showing De Jonge's membership in the WEF.

As Minister of Health, Welfare and Sport, De Jonge was responsible for the affairs of the RIVM. Under De Jonge's leadership, the RIVM implemented and executed the WHO's Covid-19 strategy. This strategy relies on military psychological behavioral influence tactics. These tactics are primarily based on generating great fear, in this case of a pretended deadly virus. This with the goal of deceiving the population. In the case of the Covid-19 project, this deception served to encourage as many people as possible to get Covid-19 injections. In so doing, De Jonge was fulfilling the task apparently assigned to him by the WHO and the WEF to deceive as many people as possible into getting the Covid-19 injections. As PRODUCTION 22, plaintiffs submit information taken from the website of the Central Government in which, in implementation of the Covid-19 strategy, the aforementioned military psychological behavioral influence tactics were made government policy by De Jonge.

The WHO declared the Covid-19 pandemic on March 11, 2020. De Jonge immediately after declaring the pandemic carried out his task assigned by NGOs to deploy the aforementioned behavioral influence techniques against the Dutch population, including M..... et al. In response, De Jonge was challenged by many concerned Dutch citizens about how he encouraged people to get Covid-19 injections. As an example of the latter, M..... c.s. refer to a plea by Mr. Frank Ruesink[11] on April 16, 2020 of which a video recording was made which is submitted by plaintiffs as PRODUCTION 23. In this video, Mr. Ruesink can be seen pointing out to De Jonge that Covid-19 injections are only one of many options to counter the pretended pandemic, praying and imploring De Jonge to look at those alternatives as well. In response, De Jonge pulls a dubious face and indicates that he considers the WHO's instructions to be leading. This reaction by De Jonge can only be explained by the evil intent with which De Jonge and the other defendants are conducting the Covid-19 project. In evidence of that ill intent, Plaintiffs refer to two email messages uncovered by Wob requests dated May 15, 2020 and May 25, 2020, respectively. Plaintiffs submit these messages as PRODUCTION 24. In the May 15, 2020 email message, De Jonge's Ministry states:

'... In terms of support: when it comes to behavior change, there is quite often a gap between the (intentional) willingness to change and actual behavior. Cf. also indications on app-use abroad. Perhaps the gap is less here if the sausage is held out that there will be fewer restrictions on freedom in the future?'

In the May 25, 2020 email, De Jonge's ministry states:

'...First point in the mvt of course we also set out why exactly this law is coming into the Wpg but the first three arguments for making a law come from the May 1 letter (the only perspectives we agree on). the fourth is a kind of logic

- democratic legitimacy;
- fundamental rights limitation;
- longer-term rules than emergency ordinances, but temporary.
- flexible so everything by min. regulation

Point is, of course, that this also implicitly admits that emergency ordinances lack democratic legitimacy and are a shaky basis for fundamental rights restrictions, but we don't say that[12]. That timeliness and that flexibility is fine with emergency ordinances...'

This email continues:

'...We do say that the bill strikes an optimal balance for the dilemma that extending the goals of the bill inevitably presents (that sentence was my own and I'm still proud of it) underneath that, namely, you can follow anything you want. We'll produce some sentences tomorrow. 'Good night'

During the period of the aforementioned Ministership, he and Rutte, during many public utterances, caused enormous fear of Covid-19 among the population, including M..... et al. Those public utterances consisted largely of televised press conferences held in response to periodic OMT advisories. In those press conferences, using the Covid-19 narrative, freedom-restricting Covid-19 measures were announced. The message there was that only if the vast majority of the Dutch population had Covid-19 injections could the measures be lifted. In this situation proposed by De Jonge, De Jonge made numerous appeals to compassion. This by repeatedly suggesting to the public that anyone who has a Covid-19 injection committed a beneficence because that person would do this for another. Because of this big lie and deception, many people, including M..... et al, have felt compelled to get themselves injected with Covid-19 injections.

For the sake of completeness, the plaintiffs reiterate that the contentions they raise with each of the defendants apply to all defendants in terms of their knowledge of what actually occurred and what role they played in it. Against this background, it is almost unfathomable that De Jonge who, for example, also knew about the Pfizer trials deliberately misled so many people, including M..... et al, and deliberately endangered their lives and health.

Illustrative of the great deception of Dutch citizens, including M..... c.s. by De Jonge is the December 2020 interview with "This is Robbert" from the Youtube channel "Open Card. This Q&A includes the following statements made by De Jonge in italics. Reference is always made to the time stamp accompanying the video. Questions and answers from the questioner are hereby placed in parentheses. A screen shot of this video is submitted as PRODUCTION 25.

(3:36) Of course, if you knew what you were getting into we would have prepared very differently for that pandemic.

In this part of the interview, De Jonge disguises the fact that he is doing work on the Covid-19 project involving planning that is known to him.

(32.53) Well actually a vaccine only has pros. A vaccine really only has pros.

As will be explained in detail in this subpoena, we are talking about a Covid-19 injection which carries great health risks so that this statement is a pertinent lie.

(33.03) The existence of vaccines is a triumph for humanity, is a triumph for science. A triumph for science is that this vaccine has been developed in such a short time.

As will be explained in detail in this subpoena, the so-called vaccines had already been developed prior to the declaration of the pretended pandemic by the WHO for the purpose of the Covid-19 project.



(33.59) And what we actually did was make agreements with companies beforehand: we are going to invest a lot of money, could it be possible to start production at the same time as the development and research of the vaccine? And so it has actually come about that things are going much faster now, techniques are being used that have already been tried and tested many times, so that is the reason that things can go much faster now, but we have not cut corners in any way. All the safety requirements are just being met. And that's important because confidence in such a vaccine is important. So if EMA says, the EMA is the European institute, the European Medicines Authority if it says green light then there is really a stamp of this is sufficiently safe, sufficiently effective, you can just start using this.

De Jonge explains about investing money. This shows he is not working for the Dutch government, but for another party he refers to as "we. After all, the Dutch government did not invest in the development and research of Covid-19 vaccines. The EMA has not declared that the Covid-19 vaccine is safe and you can just use it. It was an experiment that by its nature is not safe.

(35.28) You know. A vaccine eeh that is. You don't do a vaccine for yourself you don't do it to protect yourself, you actually do it to protect the people around you, the people you love. I think we need to say that to each other as well. I sometimes hear people say, well I still have to see if I actually want it and so on. Then I think yes guys hello eeh that's not possible. We are in the worst crisis since World War II. We have to turn that page as fast as we can. Eeh we are weighed down by all the measures we have to take to keep that virus down. On the front lines of care at the GGDs, they have been in crisis mode for ten months. They are working their asses off and you see the absenteeism going up. They are barely holding on. All we have to do is just get one of those jabs. And then sit there and say, "Well, I'll have to see if I really want it. Well of course you can't. I hear people say yes, I don't know exactly what's in it. I don't know exactly what's in it. Then I think, hey, you've eaten frikandells all your life and you don't know exactly what's in them. Eeh. Here is really out here tested eeh whether it's sound and whether it's right and whether it's safe, side effects are portrayed you know this is just the very best thing that can happen to us in this crisis. Yes and we should thank our dear Lord on our bare knees that it exists this vaccine and of course just start using it.

Here De Jonge is applying military psychological behavioral influence tactics. In addition, he deliberately gives misinformation regarding the testing and safety of the Covid-19 vaccines. The comparison to frikandells is unworthy of Minister and in flagrant violation of the fundamental law provision of Article 7 ICCPR.

(38.44) So England started poking around before the EMA had said this is okay. And that was actually when there were too few research findings from that third stage that third stage of research. So you have different research phases. The third clinical phase is when the vaccine is used in a large group of people and we look at whether there are any side effects, what contraindications there are and the result of that third clinical phase has to be submitted to the EMA and the EMA then says this is good or not. So it then gives a green light. And the English have already started before the EMA made that decision. They did that with an emergency procedure. I deliberately didn't do that because I think you are really cutting corners short. And then you get people saying yes, but is it really right because not even all the research results have been awaited before you start injecting. I don't think that's responsible. So I deliberately didn't do that.

Here De Jonge shows familiarity with the Pfizer trials mentioned earlier and the Pfizer safety reports then still kept secret from the public, including the Pfizer report FDA-CBER-2021-5683-0000054. De Jonge knew that the Pfizer trial was in its third clinical phase as of December 1, 2020, and that by then there were numerous reports of deaths and serious permanent physical injuries among participants in this third clinical trial. Young concealed this crucial information. This trial with all its deaths and injuries was no reason for De Jonge to abandon the Covid-19 vaccination campaign. In doing so, De Jonge is also acting as an implementer of the Covid-19 project and harming the interests of Dutch citizens, including M..... et al.

[A large part of the Netherlands there who perhaps now doubts a large part with this already tackles if she would see that you would then actually do so]

(39.38) I would have no problem doing it in public. Or so. If that helps for trust I would have no problem with that at all. My only hesitation about doing that first is that then I would also take first place from someone who needs it much more than I do. So I don't want that I wouldn't be OK with that because then I'm actually taking the prick that was meant for someone else.

Again, this is a totally unacceptable way for a Minister to influence behavior. Extremely sad here is that De Jonge is targeting young people in particular.

(40.30) ... at that point we are going to explain to your viewers why it is so incredibly important to get yourself vaccinated. That you're not doing it for yourself but to protect those around you and that it's perfectly safe. And do you get vaccinated then, too? [sure]. That's fine.

As outlined in this subpoena, no testing was ever done prior to the marketing of the Covid-19 injections to prevent transmission making the mantra "you're doing it for another person" false and misleading. By talking about "perfectly safe," De Jonge misleads the public, including M..... et al. Incidentally, the agreement pretended in the interview between De Jonge and the interviewer, that they would be "vaccinated" at the same time and explanations would be provided to viewers as part of this, was not fulfilled.

At the end of the aforementioned Ministership, De Jonge stated the following during an interview for VARA OP1 on January 7, 2022:

'... We have a small, magnified minority in the Netherlands that does not allow itself to be prodded. I don't understand at all that under the guise of freedom you say: I refuse that prick, because freedom. Yes, it is precisely that prick that helps us regain that freedom from the virus. It is not the government that limits your freedom, it is the virus that limits your freedom...'

This quote shows how De Jonge is focused on falsely inducing behavior change as part of the Covid-19 project he is to implement. As PRODUCTION 26, plaintiffs submit a video of De Jonge's interview with VARA OP1 on Jan. 7, 2022.

De Jonge is to be blamed for not fulfilling his political and social responsibility as Minister of the Netherlands and, as a result, for acting in a socially careless manner towards M..... et al. resulting in the damages suffered by M..... et al. The policy of the Dutch government would not have come about without De Jonge's unlawful conduct. De Jonge should not have cooperated with the WEF and WHO plans and as a Dutch Minister should have publicly opposed the narrative, which he failed to do. What was stated with Rutte about Article 97a Penal Code also applies to De Jonge.

M..... c.s. would not (could not) have had the Covid-19 injections put in place if De Jonge had performed his duty with the required social care.

Kuipers

Defendant sub 7, hereinafter "Kuipers," became Minister of Health, Welfare and Sport in January 2022 in succession to De Jonge. Kuipers, to the best of his knowledge, had no publicly known political career prior to this Ministership. Prior to his Ministership, Kuipers served as chairman of the board of Erasmus MC from March 2013 to January 2022, effectively being the boss of Koopmans, who had been head of the virus science department there since 2006. During this period, Kuipers was also a paid speaker and consultant for vaccine manufacturer AstraZeneca. In April 2021, before taking office as Minister, Kuipers was vehemently opposed to discontinuing AstraZeneca Covid-19 injections for people under the age of 60. This also after Kuipers' peers at AstraZeneca revealed that they considered the Covid-19 injections a public health hazard.

During the period in which M..... et al. had the Covid-19 injections administered, Kuipers could regularly be seen on television in his capacity as chairman of the National Network for Acute Care (LNAZ) through which network the hospitals in the Netherlands that have an intensive care unit are united. In that capacity, Kuipers "reported" on an alleged major threat of a shortage of ICU beds.

In doing so, Kuipers made it appear as if a possible shortage could occur as a result of an expected influx of Covid-19 patients. Outlining this scenario contributed significantly to the fear that had already been created in society about Covid-19 by statements made by the other defendants. There was never a shortage of ICU beds despite the fact that during the years leading up to the pretended Covid-19 pandemic, ICU capacity worldwide and also in the Netherlands had already been greatly reduced as a result of government policy.

In keeping with the narrative, Kuipers stressed in a television broadcast on Thursday, Dec. 30, 2020, even before the first round of vaccination, that it would be crucial that staff remain available to deliver care. Specifically, Kuipers was referring to family doctors, ambulance personnel, hospital staff in emergency rooms, intensive care units and Covid-19 wards. Kuipers felt that for this reason, vaccination of these groups should be started immediately. As PRODUCTION 27 plaintiffs submit a screenshot of the interview at Nieuwsuur about dated December 31, 2020.

On December 30, 2020, the oft-repeated third Pfizer clinical trial was about halfway through. Kuipers' predecessor, De Jonge, referred to this trial in the December 2020 Q&A with "This is Robbert" from the Youtube channel "Open Card. In this Q&A, De Jonge qualified the Covid-19

vaccines as "perfectly safe. Pfizer was the first supplier of Covid-19 vaccines for the purpose of the Covid-19 injection campaign that began on Jan. 8, 2021. At that time, the Pfizer Covid-19 vaccine was not licensed and, moreover, there were many deaths and injuries in that trial by then.

Kuipers' push to start putting unauthorized Covid-19 injections on Monday, Jan. 4, 2021, or the scheduled date of Jan. 8, 2021, was flagrantly unlawful. All the more so because these Covid-19 injections were in a trial of which Kuipers knew that this trial had many deaths and injuries as early as December 2020. In light of these facts and circumstances, Kuipers' push to put Covid-19 injections on all essential healthcare personnel as early as January 4, 2021 demonstrates malicious intent. M..... et al. believe that the potential doomsday scenarios of shortages outlined by Kuipers were only for the purpose of serving the Covid-19 project.

Kuipers, through his television appearances and public utterances prior to his Ministership, contributed significantly to the deception of M..... c.s. that resulted in M..... c.s. having every incentive to have the Covid-19 injections.

During his Ministership, Kuipers was able to deliver what appeared to be a sympathetic message at a coronapers conference on Feb. 15, 2022, by announcing, as Minister, that the Covid-19 measures would be largely lifted and the Netherlands reopened. Despite this announcement, even afterward, with the knowledge that the narrative is fraudulent, Kuipers has continued to insist on the "need" for large-scale Covid-19 injections in people. Kuipers is implementing a policy to that effect. It should be remembered that the Pfizer report regarding the third clinical phase was known to Kuipers on April 30, 2021. As indicated above, with this report Pfizer reported 1,223 deaths and 11,361 people with permanent serious physical injuries. Under Kuipers' responsibility, these injections are still being put into mostly defenseless people to this day without any informed-consent, and the harmful effects of the Covid-19 injections are being hushed up by Kuipers. What was stated with Rutte about Article 97a Penal Code also applies to Kuipers.

Kuipers can be blamed for not having fulfilled his political and social responsibility as Minister of the Netherlands and, as a result, for having acted in a socially negligent manner towards M..... et al. resulting in the damages suffered by M..... et al. The policy of the Dutch government would not have come about without Kuipers' unlawful conduct. Kuipers, as Dutch Minister, should have publicly opposed the narrative, which he failed to do. M..... et al. would not (could not) have had the Covid-19 injections put in place if Kuipers had performed his duties with the required social due diligence.

Gommers

Defendant sub 8, hereinafter referred to as "Gommers," is affiliated with Erasmus MC as a specialist in intensive care medicine[13] from 2014.

From February 2016 to February 2022, Gommers was the president of the Dutch Society for Intensive Care (hereinafter referred to as 'NVIC') and, according to the RIVM website, a

permanently invited member of the OMT.

In 2009, drug manufacturer Pfizer and the NIVC entered into a cooperation agreement that involved more than just providing financial support. This collaboration was carried out under the leadership of Gommers. Given this, close ties exist between Pfizer, the NIVC and Gommers. As PRODUCTION 28, M..... et al. submit an article from the journal Netherlands Journal of Critical Care dated April 2, 2009 showing this.

The statements made by Kuipers in the television broadcast on December 31, 2020 as described above with Kuipers (production 27), were confirmed by Gommers, and he reinforced them by making a call to start on Monday, January 4, 2021, to prioritize Covid-19 injections in all healthcare workers. Gommers indicated in this broadcast, when asked, that he would be free that day so that he himself could pick up the boxes of Covid-19 injections from the central warehouse in Oss.

On Jan. 6, 2021, Gommers had a purported Covid-19 injection placed, and in doing so, in front of the media, praised the science and pharmaceutical industries who had worked together 'really cool' and would have made the Covid-19 vaccine possible as soon as possible. At this, Gommers said, "We must now work together as quickly as possible over the next few months to make sure everyone is vaccinated. Then we will pick up our normal lives again'. It should be remembered that at the time of all this, the oft-mentioned Pfizer trial was about halfway through its third clinical phase so there was no factually-based reason to heap praise on it. In reality, this was pure deception to enable the implementation of the Covid-19 project. The tactic of influencing behavior that Gommers used for this purpose at this time was characterized in the use of a combination of presenting himself as a competent and trustworthy doctor and displaying euphoria about a new Covid-19 injection. That combination served to arouse in the Dutch people, including M..... et al, the psychic condition to become euphoric about the Covid-19 injections themselves and to have them put in like Gommers[14] so that normal life could be resumed. As PRODUCTION 29, M..... et al. submit an article from NOS news dated January 6, 2021 about Gommers' Covid-19 injection.

In order to also win over those who had not had the Covid-19 injections and subsequent "boosters," Gommers began to adjust his tactics of influencing behavior. Gommers did this by giving the impression of being "critical" of the Covid-19 policy. Precisely by adopting what appeared to be a critical stance, Gommers gained trust among, in particular, health care professionals who doubted whether they should have a Covid-19 booster injection. In doing so, Gommers created the false appearance that it would be possible to make an informed decision to have a Covid-19 booster injection. However, an informed decision can only be made when sufficient (public) information is available about the content of the Covid-19 injections and its short- and long-term effects. That information did exist, but was kept secret from the Dutch people, including M..... et al.

Moreover, an important argument Gommers put forward before putting the Covid-19 booster injection in position was that he would do so for the benefit of the patients in his hospital. In this way, Gommers also employed the mantra "you're doing it for someone else. That argument by Gommers, too, was false because there were no research findings on preventing transmission by vaccination. On the contrary, Gommers, like Pfizer and all the other defendants, knew that transmission had never been tested for because it was apparently irrelevant to the Covid-19 project.

Given Gommers' knowledge and expertise, including the repeatedly mentioned report on the Pfizer trials and the close ties between Gommers and Pfizer, Gommers knew better than anyone else that this argument was false and that what he was doing would lead to enormous damage to the Dutch population and thus to M..... et al. It should be kept in mind that the Pfizer report regarding the third clinical phase was already known to Gommers on April 30, 2021. As indicated above, with this report Pfizer reported 1,223 deaths and 11,361 people with permanent serious physical injuries. Under the responsibility of Gommers, these injections are put among Dutch healthcare personnel. To this day, this is done to healthcare workers without actual informed-consent. Healthcare workers are being falsely convinced by Gommers to protect patients by having Covid-19 injections placed. AS PRODUCTION 30lay M..... et al. over an article on the news website NU.nl dated December 29, 2021 that deals with the alleged Covid-19 booster injection taken by Gommers.

Gommers intentionally committed his unlawful conduct and in doing so had the apparent intent to have a Covid-19 injection administered to healthcare workers with the foreseeable consequence that as a result, these people will be injured and healthcare capacity will decrease as a result. It stands to reason that the defendants then attribute this decrease to (the pretended disease) Covid-19 which will again falsely legitimize Covid-19 measures. This is a crucial part of the tort jointly committed by Defendants that amounts to the implementation of the Covid-19: The Great Reset Project. Worldwide, this approach has been the same by officials in a similar position to Gommers. What was stated with Rutte about Section 97a of the Penal Code also applies to Gommers.

Gommers can be blamed for not fulfilling his social responsibility as an authoritative OMT member and chairman of the NVIC and, as a result, he acted with social carelessness towards M..... et al. resulting in the damages suffered by M..... et al. The Covid-19 policy would not have come about without Gommers' wrongful conduct. Gommers should not have misled M..... et al. and, given his scientific and social position, should have publicly opposed the Covid-19 narrative, which he failed to do.

M..... et al. would not (could not) have had the Covid-19 injections put in place had Gommers performed his duties with the required social due diligence.

Hoekstra

Defendant sub 9, hereinafter referred to as "Hoekstra," took office as Minister of Finance in 2017 which Ministership Hoekstra held until January 10, 2022. As of January 10, 2022, Hoekstra became Minister of Foreign Affairs. Hoekstra is a prominent member of the WEF and therefore familiar with the plan for the complete reshuffling of society (Covid-19: The Great Reset) already cited by the WEF when introducing Kaag. As PRODUCTION 31, M..... c.s. submit a printout of the WEF website showing Hoekstra as a member of the WEF.

Hoekstra is an advocate for the Covid-19: The Great Reset project and considers Schwab a dignitary. For this reason, Hoekstra writes to Mr. Schwab as "Your Excellency. Schwab deployed Hoekstra in the Netherlands as one of the key implementers of the Covid-19 project. The relationship between Schwab and Hoekstra is reflected in the fact that Schwab in turn, through his secretary, addresses Hoekstra as "Dear Minister. It should be borne in mind that, given his appointment as Minister, Hoekstra should, according to Dutch etiquette, be addressed as

'Excellency' and not Schwab. As PRODUCTION 32, M..... c.s. hereby submit a copy of a letter from Hoekstra to whom he refers as 'Your Excellency'.

In the introduction to this subpoena, it was brought out that Covid-19 is a project deployed by NGOs and funded by the World Bank. Arriving at this point in the subpoena, M..... et al. will further explain their perspective on the relationship between defendants and the WEF and in particular Hoekstra and the WEF. The WEF is a foundation under Swiss law. This legal form is similar to what in the Netherlands is a foundation. It is therefore a private organization that when it was established had no formal position with any national government. As PRODUCTION 33, M..... c.s. hereby submit a copy of an excerpt from the Swiss trade register relating to the registration of the WEF there. Also hereby submitted are the statutes of the WEF.

On June 3, 2020, the start of the project Covid-19: The Great Reset was proclaimed by Schwab and the then future King of England via an online meeting. Following this, written invitations were sent out by the WEF for the January 2021 major meeting in Davos, Switzerland called "The Great Reset. Hoekstra was also invited to this event. Hoekstra received the invitation to this on July 10, 2020. As PRODUCTION 34, M..... c.s. submit the information from 'The Great Reset' meeting as well as Hoekstra's correspondence to the WEF in response.

M..... c.s. wish to further explain to your court what it means in their perspective that defendants have engaged with the WEF and advocate the ideas of Covid-19: The Great Reset. In connection with this, M..... et al. first refer to Schwab's book entitled "Covid-19: The Great Reset," which is made available to anyone by the WEF through its website (see note 9, attached to this subpoena). This book was published online by the WEF in July 2020. Based on the information contained in this book and the events factually described therein, M..... et al. conclude that this book was written in 2019 and prepared during 2020 for publication in July 2020.

Defendants share the thinking that Schwab chronicled in Covid-19: The Great Reset. Schwab describes in his book, that the Covid-19 pandemic crisis has ushered in the decisive moment when very many things in the world will have to change forever. M..... et al. refer to several quotes in this regard:

'It is our defining moment - we will be dealing with its fallout for years and many things will change forever.'

According to this line of thinking, the Covid-19 pandemic crisis will expose all of the world's "woeful errors. In this regard, the following quote is illustrative.

"most notably social divides, lack of fairness, absence of cooperation, failure of global governance and leadership - now lie exposed as never before and people feel the time for reinvention has come.

According to this thinking, nothing will return to the "old" normal. In this context, the following

quote is illustrative.

'Nothing will ever return to the 'broken' sense of normalcy that prevailed prior to the crisis because the coronavirus pandemic marks a fundamental inflection point in our global trajectory'

According to this thinking, the old world as M..... et al. knew it will disappear completely. In this regard, the following quote is illustrative.

'The World as we knew it in the early months of 2020 is no more, dissolved in the context of the pandemic'

According to this line of thinking, radical and enormous changes will soon be upon us, which will have major consequences for the lives of everyone, including M..... et al. In this regard, the following quote is illustrative.

'Radical changes of such consequence are coming that some pundits have referred to a 'before coronacrisis (BC)' and 'after coronacrisis (AC) era.' We will continue to be surprised by both the rapidly and unexpected nature of these changes - as they conflate with each other, they will provoke second-, third-, fourth- and more-order consequences, cascading effects and unforeseen outcomes.'

According to this thinking, a radical new "normal" will be created. In this regard, the following quote is illustrative.

'In so doing, they will shape a 'new normal' radically different from the one we will progressively leave behind.'

In view of the above-described thinking of defendants, including Hoekstra, M..... c.s. conclude that defendants with their Covid-19: The Great Reset project are doing to implement an unprecedented and radical change in the Dutch population. The Covid-19 injections are evidently part of this project. This is evident because the Covid-19 injections are explicitly named by Schwab with the words: 'Nobody will be safe, if not everybody is vaccinated'. In evidence of this statement, M..... c.s. refer to their production 19.

M..... et al. hereby refer again to their production 32 where a letter from Hoekstra to Schwab dated February 14, 2019 is submitted. In that letter, Hoekstra, in his capacity as Minister, wrote to Schwab that he would like to cooperate with him in bringing about technical and political developments leading to further (financial) globalization. Hoekstra carried out this correspondence in secret and



without a mandate from the Dutch parliament and thus also without the consent of M..... c.s. The content of this letter, in view of the WEF's thinking, cannot be interpreted in any other way than an unconditional promise by Hoekstra, in his position as Minister of Finance, to fully cooperate with the project Covid-19: The Great Reset. Hoekstra actually did provide that cooperation to which he abused his position as Minister of Finance.

As indicated above, as part of the Covid-19 project, the message is being sent to the public that no one will be safe until everyone is vaccinated with Covid-19 injections. For the Great Reset to succeed, it is crucial that the crisis be presented as one of unprecedented magnitude that calls for unprecedented action. Hoekstra, with an unprecedented financial "support package," ensured the realization of the perception by M..... et al. that a crisis of unprecedented magnitude had actually been caused by a new coronavirus. Without Hoekstra's cooperation, the implementation of the Covid-19 project in the Netherlands would not have been possible for lack of access to the Dutch treasury. What was stated with Rutte about Article 97a of the Penal Code also applies to Hoekstra.

Hoekstra, as Minister and member of the WEF, makes a strong case for the Covid-19 project and thus also a strong case for Covid-19 injections. All this while Hoekstra knows that the Pfizer trials reports mentioned several times in this subpoena have shown that the Covid-19 injections have cost the lives of many people and resulted in serious permanent physical injury to thousands.

As a result of Hoekstra and the other defendants' fears at M..... et al. about the alleged new coronavirus, its unprecedented consequences, and the notion expressed for its resolution that unprecedentedly hefty measures were necessary, M..... et al. made their decision to have the Covid-19 injections in great fear.

Hoekstra is to be blamed for not fulfilling his political and social responsibility as Minister of the Netherlands and for consequently acting in a socially careless manner towards M..... c.s., resulting in the damage suffered by M..... c.s. The policy of the Dutch government would not have come about and could not have been implemented without Hoekstra's unlawful conduct. Hoekstra should not have cooperated with the WEF and WHO plans and as a Dutch Minister should have publicly opposed the narrative, which he failed to do.

M..... et al. would not (could not) have had the Covid-19 injections put in place if Hoekstra had performed his duties with the required social due diligence.

Van Nieuwenhuizen

Defendant sub 10, hereinafter referred to as 'Van Nieuwenhuizen' was Minister of Infrastructure and Water Management from October 26, 2017 to August 31, 2021.

On March 28, 2020, Van Nieuwenhuizen took so-called emergency measures through a regulation. These emergency measures had the direct effect that permit applications for gene therapy-based

Covid-19 injections no longer had to be accompanied by an environmental risk assessment (ERA), the submission of views by third parties was no longer possible, and decision deadlines were greatly shortened. These procedural relaxations had no factual basis. There was no such necessity. Van Nieuwenhuizen had neither the authority nor the mandate to override the international Aarhus Convention as a fundamental right. As PRODUCTION 35, M..... et al. submit Van Nieuwenhuizen's ministerial regulation dated March 28, 2020.

By instituting the aforementioned regulation, Van Nieuwenhuizen's obligations under the Aarhus Convention[15] were grossly violated. This Convention obliged Van Nieuwenhuizen to consult the public when releasing genetically modified organisms into the environment, which, according to the text of the regulation, Van Nieuwenhuizen deliberately failed to do. To this end, the regulation considers the following.

'Pursuant to Article 6 of the Aarhus Convention, the Netherlands is obliged, within the framework of national legislation, to consult the public to the extent possible and appropriate prior to permitting the release of genetically modified organisms into the environment. In the case of the control of COVID-19, it is considered necessary to deviate from this and to have authorization not according to the UOV, but according to the regular preparation procedure.'

As PRODUCTION 36, M..... et al. lay over the first two pages of the Aarhus Convention[16].

By the aforementioned course of action, the Dutch population, including M..... c.s. were deliberately left ignorant by Van Nieuwenhuizen as to the fact that the offered Covid-19 injections involve participation in a clinical trial, the fact that the Covid-19 injections are based on gene therapy, and the fact that by the regulation, important safeguards for human and environmental safety for obtaining a license no longer applied.

Worldwide, persons in a similar position to Van Nieuwenhuizen's acted in the same way, causing the lack of information identified above to occur worldwide. The fact that all defendants cooperated in this speaks volumes with regard to the assertion of M..... et al. that defendants are acting unlawfully as a group.

Van Nieuwenhuizen's unlawful conduct on March 28, 2020, involved the fact that the third clinical phase of the Pfizer trial, mentioned several times above, had not even begun. This blindly deviated from a treaty that is precisely intended to provide protection for a situation such as this. This demonstrates malicious intent on the part of all defendants and particularly Van Nieuwenhuizen. What was stated in Rutte's case about Section 97a of the Penal Code also applies to Van Nieuwenhuizen.

Van Nieuwenhuizen can be blamed for not having fulfilled her political and social responsibility as Minister of the Netherlands and for having acted in a socially negligent manner towards M..... et al. resulting in the damage suffered by M..... et al. The policy of the Dutch government would not have come about without the unlawful conduct of Van Nieuwenhuizen. Van Nieuwenhuizen should

not have intentionally violated the Aarhus Convention and as a Dutch Minister should have publicly opposed the narrative, which she failed to do.

M..... et al. would not (could not) have had the Covid-19 injections put in place if Van Nieuwenhuizen had performed her duty with the required social due diligence.

Pharmaceutical industry  
Bourla

Defendant sub 11, hereafter referred to as "Bourla," was trained as a veterinarian and has been an executive with the U.S.-based drug manufacturer Pfizer since 1993. During Bourla's long career at Pfizer, Bourla became familiar with facets of business operations across all of Pfizer's divisions. In 2018, Bourla became the second-in-command at Pfizer. Effective January 1, 2019, Bourla was promoted to chief executive officer (CEO) at Pfizer and made all major decisions at Pfizer effective January 1, 2019.

Bourla is a "contributor" to the WEF and Pfizer is a "member" of the WEF. Bourla is a proponent of WEF thinking and Covid-19: The Great Reset. As PRODUCTION 37, M..... et al. submit information from the WEF website showing Bourla's and Pfizer's ties to the WEF.

Bourla has stated in public statements that he urged Pfizer employees in 2020 to rapidly develop a Covid-19 vaccine. In doing so, Bourla allegedly pointed out that the vaccine to be developed must be safe and effective. The production of the Covid-19 "vaccines" was, Bourla says, started at his behest prior to approval by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration[17] (hereinafter "FDA"). According to Bourla, therein lay Pfizer's strategy that the 'vaccines' would be ready immediately after approval by the drug authorities. As PRODUCTION 38, M..... et al. submit a screenshot of a video message dated December 14, 2020 showing the above. Here, M..... et al. note a striking similarity between Bourla's and De Jonge's choice of words where Bourla stated that the Covid-19 injections were developed "without cutting corners" while De Jonge on December 26, 2020, in the same context, spoke of "in no way cutting corners.

In an open letter from Bourla published on Pfizer's website on October 15, 2020,[18] Bourla makes several promises and commitments to the global population. The importance of transparency regarding the development of the "vaccine" is emphasized by Bourla in this letter. Bourla assures the public that permission for "public use" can only be granted by drug authorities if three conditions have been successfully demonstrated by Pfizer. Only when it has been demonstrated that the 'vaccine' under development is effective, safe and can be produced in a consistently high quality can approval for 'public use' be an issue, Bourla said. As PRODUCTION 39, M..... et al. submit a printout of Bourla's letter dated Oct. 15, 2020.

For Europe, a conditional approval under Article 14(7) of EC Regulation 726/2004 followed at the end of 2020 requiring Pfizer to collect and submit to the EMA all kinds of information regarding the administration of the Covid-19 injections through a set timetable. This established that the Covid-19 injections given to M..... et al. were administered as part of a clinical trial. The EMA has determined that this trial will continue until at least August 2024.

Pfizer, according to its repeatedly cited safety report,[19] conducted an extensive clinical trial from November 2020 through February 2021, in which subjects were injected with Pfizer's Covid-19 "vaccine" known by the brand name Comirnaty / BNT162b2[20]. In this trial, 29,914 women, 9,182 men and 2,990 children participated. Within one month of the start date of this clinical trial, 1,223 subjects died and 11,361 suffered serious permanent physical injury. Pfizer reports in its aforementioned safety report that they do not have data on 9,400 subjects. Whether these subjects died or suffered injuries as a result of the clinical trial is unknown for this reason. As PRODUCTION 40, M..... et al. submit the safety report dated April 30, 2021.

The aforementioned safety report was concealed by Bourla, but was released to the public in October 2021 thanks to intervention by the judiciary in the United States of America. The data from this report showed that the Pfizer Covid-19 "vaccine" was life-threatening. This safety report showed that the three conditions Bourla had set out in his earlier open letter of Oct. 15, 2020 for being able to seek approval from drug authorities could not be met. If Bourla had done what he assured the world population in his October 15, 2020 open letter, consent would never have been sought from drug authorities and Pfizer's Covid-19 injection would not have been administered to M..... et al. Bourla deliberately misled M..... et al. with his open letter and the concealment of the safety report from the public.

Bourla's deception goes much further. Safe and effective were the key words used worldwide to entice most of the world's population to have Covid-19 injections. Bourla as well as all the other defendants repeated these words ad nauseam. In doing so, an important motto was "you are doing it for another[21]. Defendants, particularly Bourla, thereby implied that the Covid-19 injections would prevent transmission of the supposed virus. Based on this implicit message, there was an assumption among the public worldwide, including M..... et al. that as more people had Covid-19 injections, the likelihood of being infected with this virus decreased and only in this way could the pandemic be brought to an end.

Bourla knew, however, that Pfizer and other Covid-19 vaccine manufacturers paid no attention whatsoever to preventing transmission of the supposed new coronavirus when developing the "vaccines. Bourla knew that there was a great misunderstanding - partly created by him - on this point among the worldwide public, and thus also among M..... et al. whose importance cannot be overstated. The entire Covid-19 policy worldwide rested on the idea that through vaccination, transmission of the supposed virus could be prevented making "vaccination" an effective means of countering the pretended pandemic. All this is a big lie that was perpetuated by Bourla and the other defendants. Had Bourla exercised the transparency he promised, M..... et al. would never have proceeded to have Covid-19 injections.

The lie regarding the prevention of transmission of the supposed virus by Covid-19 vaccinations was exposed in the European Parliament on October 10, 2022. Bourla, among others, was summoned for questioning by several European parliamentarians. Bourla has refused to appear before the European Parliament hearing committee. He sent his colleague Ms. Janine Small in his place. Ms. Small formally admitted before the hearing committee that Pfizer never tested the Covid-19 vaccine for transmission control. As PRODUCTION 41, M..... et al. submit a screenshot of the October 10, 2022 European Parliament hearing.

Ms. Small, delegated to do so by Bourla, attempted to disguise the true facts of this startling admission by stating that it had been because of "the speed of science" that no research on transmission had been done. As will be explained below, the reference to the 'speed of science' made by Bourla and by the other defendants at many times is false and a big lie. This reference made no sense because in reality the Covid-19 injections had already been developed prior to the pretended pandemic. M..... et al will further substantiate this claim later in this subpoena.

Bourla was confronted on January 19, 2023 by journalists from the Canadian TV channel "Rebel News" prior to the WEF meeting in Davos with his colleague Small's statement of October 10, 2022. Despite being pressed by these journalists for his reaction to Ms. Small's statement, Bourla again refused to make a statement. Bourla thought it would suffice to say "Have a nice day. This proves the transparency promised in Bourla's open letter to be yet another big lie. Bourla thus demonstrates his contempt for anyone who has placed their trust in him and Pfizer, including M..... et al. As PRODUCTION 42, M..... et al. submit a screenshot of Rebel News' interview with Bourla dated January 19, 2023.

Bourla's extremely vicious modus operandi to conceal crucial information about the content and operation of Comirnaty / BNT162b2 (mRNA injections) also occurs on the presence of Graphene oxide in every mRNA injection, indifferently from Pfizer or Moderna[22]. Pfizer describes in its Covid-19 injection leaflet as the active ingredient 'tozinameran' and other substances with complex compound chemical names, which are indicated by codes, more specifically the codes 'ALC-0315' and 'ALC-0159'.

The aforementioned codes are explicable using the patent granted in Shanghai, China on September 27, 2020 with number CN 112220919A. This patent clearly shows that - particularly in the mRNA vaccines against the 2019 n-Cov coronavirus - graphene oxide serves as a "carrier" for the purpose of lipid nanoparticles (LNPs). The Chinese patent granted on September 27, 2020 with number CN 112220919A[23] as well as a translation thereof is submitted as PRODUCTION 43. The English summary of this patent reports the following.

'The invention belongs to the field of nano materials and biomedicine, and relates to a vaccine, in particular to development of 2019-nCoV coronavirus nuclear recombinant nano vaccine. The invention also comprises a preparation method of the vaccine and application of the vaccine in animal experiments. The new corona vaccine contains graphene oxide[24], carnosine, CpG and new corona virus RBD; binding carnosine, CpG and neocoronavirus RBD on the backbone of graphene oxide; the CpG coding sequence is shown as SEQ ID NO 1; the novel coronavirus RBD refers to a novel coronavirus protein receptor binding region which can generate a high-titer specific antibody aiming at the RBD in a mouse body, and provides strong support for prevention and treatment of the novel coronavirus. '

In the media, in response to significant public concerns, so-called "fact-checkers" checked the claim that there would be graphene oxide in the Covid-19 injections. These concerns were because, among other things, graphene oxide causes human blood to clot. The outcome of the checks was always falsely that it was not present, and Bourla also deliberately allowed this misrepresentation to continue.

Videos and scientific reports by very reputable people have been published explaining with great precision, using evidence, that the graphene oxide is present in all mRNA injections as a main component and primarily serves a purpose other than affecting health. In this regard, M..... c.s. refer to a video in which an allegedly former Pfizer employee, Karen Kingston, provides a detailed explanation of this. This explanation strengthens M..... et al. in their belief that Bourla is a very important player when it comes to the Covid-19: The Great Reset project and that the Covid-19 injections forced upon them were never intended to protect them from an alleged virus. As PRODUCTION 44, M..... et al. submit a screenshot regarding a video of Karen Kingston.

Graphene oxide qualifies as the main component of the Pfizer Covid-19 "vaccine" in view of the foregoing. Needless to say, the feared and for humans highly toxic graphene oxide should not have been part of the vaccine and no one who would have known about this, and certainly not M..... et al, would have been willing to have this poison injected into them.

Bourla can be blamed for grossly violating his scientific and social responsibility as CEO of the most important Covid-19 injection manufacturer and, as a result, for acting in a socially negligent manner toward M..... et al. resulting in the damages suffered by M..... et al. What was stated with Rutte about Article 97a Penal Code also applies to Bourla.

Mass Media

Van Cann

Defendant sub 12, hereinafter "Van Cann" was deputy editor-in-chief of NOS News from 2011 and became the new editor-in-chief of NOS News (hereinafter "NOS") as of September 1, 2022.

NOS states on its website[25] its mission statement based on the Media Act. This reads as follows.

'As an integral part of public broadcasting, NOS aims to be the primary source of information on news, sports and events, so that Dutch citizens are better able to judge developments in the world, enabling them to better determine their behavior. In doing so, NOS applies the highest journalistic requirements of accuracy, reliability, non-alignment, pluriformity and objectivity. NOS strives to make this information accessible through all available media and to all sections of society.

As (deputy) editor-in-chief, Van Cann was responsible for the direction of the Covid-19 section. It was part of Van Cann's job to ensure that the editors adhere to the standards applicable to journalism. Regarding the internationally applicable ethical standards for journalists, M..... et al. refer to the standards as accepted by the International Federation of Journalists. M..... c.s. submit the most recent edition of the standards adopted by this federation as PRODUCTION 45.

The way NIS reported on the pretrial Covid-19 crisis was determined by Van Cann.

From the beginning of the pre-conceived Covid-19 crisis, namely from January 2020, Van Cann

chose to use the tone, pictures and suggestions in the editorial work of the NOS to focus on maximum panic and fear among the population. M..... et al. became very fearful of the idea that a deadly virus was on its way from Wuhan due to the NIS coverage[26]. Van Cann capitalized on this very idea without allowing proper journalistic investigation. Van Cann slavishly followed the official narrative as imposed worldwide by the WHO and blindly followed by all defendants.

M..... c.s. deal with a limited number of examples below which, as far as Van Cann's actions are concerned, given the standards applicable to her, are unacceptable, to say the least.

First, M..... c.s. want to point to the fact that the NOS presented the first infected corona patient as a person who, because of the Covid-19 virus, immediately changed from a healthy person into someone who was (almost) dead. Dead people or sick people on the streets, people in hospitals and people on life support in the ICU, that is how the image of infection was portrayed by NOS. This while simple journalistic investigation, even in the initial phase, should have immediately raised major questions about the authenticity of the reporting that was blindly copied by NOS from international news agencies and other channels. On January 29, 2020, the NOS reports in its article "How honest is China about the coronavirus outbreak?" among other things: 'on Jan. 9, 2020, nCoV2019 was identified as causing a series of pneumonia in patients in Wuhan. A day later, Chinese authorities shared the genetic code of the virus.'

A copy of this article from NOS dated January 29, 2020 is submitted by M..... et al. as PRODUCTION 46.

Official EMA documents revealed that it was reported to the EMA that Moderna already had a Covid-19 vaccine at their disposal in 2017 and Pfizer already on January 14, 2020 and were in the process of testing these Covid-19 injections. It should be kept in mind that as far as Pfizer is concerned, this would mean that in four days Pfizer already had its Covid-19 injection ready for testing and for Moderna this would mean that it already had a vaccine against a future virus for two and a half years. In this regard, information is submitted as PRODUCTION 47 which came to the knowledge of MEP Cristian Terheş from which this follows. This information shows that Covid-19 injections were in development well before the outbreak of the pretended Covid-19 pandemic. To prove this, M..... et al. offer to hear MEP Cristian Terheş under oath. NOS and other media should have gotten to the bottom of this released information and informed the public about it in detail. In reality, instead of informing the public, outright censorship was practiced by the media, including Van Cann and the NOS.

This censorship is abundantly clear from the fact that there was no coverage of the hearing in the European Parliament dated October 10, 2022 (production 41). It is shocking that Van Cann as editor-in-chief participated in a cover-up that perpetuated the lie that the Covid-19 injections would prevent transmission. The statement about this by a Pfizer executive, Ms. Janine Small, before a European Parliament hearing committee should have been world news and gone "viral."

The presence of censorship is also shown by the fact that the speech by MEP Cristian Terheş on the results of special hearing committees in the European Parliament in which executives from Moderna and Pfizer, among others, were heard was not reported. As mentioned above with Bourla, this revealed important information about the safety and effectiveness of the Covid-19 injections. In

two speeches by MEP Cristian Terheş on October 11, 2022, Mr. Terheş reveals the fact that the European Parliament is being denied the information about the contracts with Pfizer by the European Commission. Mr. Cristian Terheş also reports on the reason given to him by Pfizer for withholding this information. According to Pfizer, this is because of "commercial secrets. Mr. Cristian Terheş also calls attention to the fact that the Covid-19 injections do not protect against the transmission of the virus. Furthermore, Mr. Cristian Terheş pointed out the greatly increased over mortality since the rollout of the Covid-19 injection campaign. Also, on Oct. 11, 2022, Mr. Terheş raised the fact that data were reported by Pfizer to the EMA on the start of testing of the Pfizer Covid-19 injections as of Jan. 14, 2020, while only three days before that, the genetic code of the purported Covid-19 virus became known. At Moderna, the testing even goes back to 2017. All this should have been presented as world news by Van Cann to the Dutch people, and Van Cann was responsible for ensuring that there would be thorough journalistic investigation of everything that Mr. Terheş put forward in his October 11, 2022 speeches. In this regard, M..... et al. submit as PRODUCTION 48 screenshots of the two speeches of MEP Cristian Terheş dated October 11, 2022.

That Van Cann is responsible and liable for Covid-19 censorship is even more evident from the fact that all information was withheld from the public by her regarding all special hearing committees established by MEPs during the month of October 2022. These hearing committees investigated the safety and effectiveness of the Covid-19 injections and the way in which the purchase agreements between Pfizer and the European Commission were secretly and fraudulently negotiated as early as January 2020. Ursula van der Leijen placed orders with Pfizer on behalf of the European Commission and on behalf of the Netherlands for over EUR 71,000,000,000.00 (71 billion Euros). The Netherlands and therefore the Dutch population including M..... c.s. are joint and several guarantors for the payment of this amount that has remained unpaid to date. It should be remembered that this sale for this amount was concluded while Pfizer's Covid-19 injections were still in the clinical testing phase (production 38). Van Cann, as editor-in-chief, covered all this up in a global cover-up and denied the public, including M..... c.s., awareness of the unimaginably far-reaching (possible) consequences of these observed shocking facts. This is incompatible with the essence of the profession of journalism and the mission statement of the NIS.

In the German Parliament on October 26, 2022, the group chairman of the large political party AfD (Alternative für Deutschland), Mrs. Dr. Alice Weidel called attention in no uncertain terms to the (censorship) scandals regarding (1) the interrogation of Janine Small and her statement about the failure to prevent transmission, (2) the confirmation by the European Commission that the Covid-19 injections do not protect for contamination and (3) Ursula van der Leijen covertly made agreements with the pharmaceutical industry about the above reported billions of purchases. The extent of the damage caused by the Covid-19 injections is also named by this MP as a subject to be censored. On the personal liability of journalists such as Van Cann, this MP leaves no room for misunderstanding. As PRODUCTION 49, M..... et al. submit a screenshot of Ms. Dr. Alice Weidel's speech dated October 26, 2022.

Proving the scandals rightly named by Dr. Alice Weidel and censored by Van Cann, M..... et al. submit as PRODUCTION 50 a screenshot of a video dated Oct. 13, 2022 in which Eurocommissioner Wolfgang Philipp confirms in the European Parliament that the Covid-19 injections do not provide any protection against transmission.

The NOS, under the leadership of Van Cann, has fully cooperated in the intrusive and fear-inducing



transmission of the Covid-19 narrative, which is blatantly aimed at using social pressure and misrepresentation to encourage people to take Covid-19 injections. This while the NOS should have pointed out that it concerned participation in a large-scale and worldwide gene therapy-based experiment for which there was in fact no reason.

The very first thing that Van Cann and her NOS journalists should have done is report on what is written in the package inserts for the Covid-19 vaccines. The package inserts for all the Covid-19 mRNA "vaccines" stated[27] plainly and clearly that this is a conditionally licensed "drug" that may only be administered on a doctor's prescription and then monitored periodically as part of a medical experiment until at least August 1, 2024. Van Cann knew this information was being withheld from the public because package inserts for Covid-19 injections were not provided.

Proving that the Covid-19 injections are a drug conditionally licensed in Europe, plaintiffs submit as PRODUCTION 51 a package insert from Pfizer. Reference is made to pages 66 and 67 on which, at E, the conditions of the authorization are listed for the period through July 2024. Remarkably, the latest version of Pfizer's package insert no longer explicitly describes these conditions.

Van Cann has ensured that no scientist has been able to express his opinion through NOS as a public and independent broadcaster in order to communicate these important facts to the public. This is nothing but censorship. Thus, through censorship by Van Cann the false narrative was maintained.

The aforementioned unlawful act of Van Cann towards M..... c.s. and the Dutch citizens is shocking because this also means that the motto propagated by the NOS under the leadership of Van Cann 'You do it for each other' and 'You do it for another' rests on a big lie. This lie was apparently put into the world by Van Cann with no other intention than to put pressure on people through improper use of the state media to have an experimental Covid-19 injection that has nothing to do with health, but purely with the implementation of the Covid-19 project. What was argued with Rutte about Article 97a Penal Code also applies to Van Cann.

Also, M..... c.s. point out that under Van Cann's leadership the NOS censored the numerous reports about health damage caused by Covid-19 injections by not including them or dismissing them as disinformation.

Finally, M..... et al. point out that under Van Cann's leadership, NOS censored the countless scientists and concerned citizens of the Netherlands who raised the legitimacy of the Covid-19 measures with the strength of facts and arguments by not including them or dismissing them as disinformation.

As editor-in-chief, Van Cann is familiar with the standards of journalism already cited above, which stem from the Bordeaux Convention and the 2008 Code of Journalism in force in the Netherlands, among others. The Bordeaux code has as its first and most fundamental duty the norm that the journalist must have respect for truth and for the public's right to truth. Van Cann grossly violated that duty with the result that M..... c.s. made a false representation. Had M..... et al. learned that truth through the NOS - which they followed daily - they would not have had the Covid-19 injections.

In summary, Van Cann failed in her journalistic duty as editor-in-chief of NOS. She is personally liable for the censorship she caused by cooperating in a cover-up on the one hand and by blindly adopting the official and project-based Covid-19 narrative on the other hand, while even with minimal journalistic research it should have been clear that this narrative was false. The aforementioned mission statement of the NOS apparently applies neither to the NOS nor to Van Cann.

Jansen

Defendant sub 13, further referred to as 'Jansen' was editor-in-chief of the daily newspaper De Telegraaf from September 1, 2015 to June 1, 2023. In that capacity, Jansen led the editorial staff with the same editorial responsibilities and duties as those explained above with regard to Van Cann. The same reproaches affect him as they do Van Cann.

From the start of the pretended Covid-19 pandemic, De Telegraaf, with its newspaper and internet sites under Jansen's editorship, has done everything to create great fear and panic among their readers and viewing public. In the process, the directions of the information departments of all departments in particular regarding the Covid-19 narrative under Jansen's leadership were taken blindly. Given the nature and content of the Telegraaf's reporting regarding the alleged Covid-19 pandemic, it leads to no doubt that the Telegraaf, under Jansen's leadership, allowed itself to be used as a weapon of fear against the Dutch population. From his reporting there was always the suggestion that there were innumerable infections with the pretended Covid-19 virus leading to serious illness, hospitalization and death in many at an unprecedented rate. The images in the Telegraph's coverage chosen at the start of the alleged Covid-19 pandemic led by Jansen were characterized by the fact that the pretended Covid-19 pandemic had virtually nothing but death and destruction. Numbers of sick and dead were flaunted without outlining a journalistically informed context to those numbers.

As PRODUCTION 52 plaintiffs submit a number of reports brought out by the Telegraph under the responsibility of Jansen, which demonstrate the above. The terminology used herein is reminiscent of war rhetoric, references with war terms contributed to the fear and panic. A battle with a virus was presented as if a war with enormous death and injury was underway. Another very bad element is that news coverage maliciously played on the idea that things could get much worse. This would not have been possible with a properly functioning and sound editorial team acting on journalistic responsibility. What has been stated above with respect to Van Cann in relation to the Code of Bordeaux and the 2008 Code of Journalism applies equally with respect to Jansen.

In so far as Jansen would like to defend himself with the fact that he attracted "critical journalistic collaborators" in the persons of Ronald Plasterk or Marianne Zwagerman, M..... c.s. note as follows. Both Plasterk and Zwagerman have been pseudo critical of the handling of the pretended Covid-19 pandemic, but have not contested any substantive point of the narrative. Jansen has used Plasterk and Zwagerman to give a sham opposition a stage. This so that his readership's confidence in the journalistic performance of his editors was maintained, when in reality there was deliberate gross deception of the public. M..... et al. were misled by Jansen in this way.

Also with regard to Jansen, the journalistic research questions regarding the Covid-19 narrative that

were also before Van Cann should have been investigated.

As editor-in-chief, Jansen is familiar with the standards for journalism already cited above, which stem from, among other things, the Bordeaux Convention and the 2008 code for journalism applicable in the Netherlands. The Bordeaux code has as its first and most fundamental duty the standard that the journalist must have respect for truth and for the public's right to truth. That duty Jansen, like Van Cann, grossly violated with the result that M..... et al. misrepresented themselves. Had M..... et al. learned that truth via the Telegraph - which they also followed daily - they would not have had the Covid-19 injections placed. What was stated with Rutte about Article 97a Penal Code also applies to Jansen.

In summary, Jansen has failed in his journalistic duty as editor-in-chief of the Telegraph. Jansen is personally liable for the censorship he caused by cooperating in a cover-up on the one hand and by blindly adopting the official narrative on the other hand while even with minimal journalistic investigation it should have been clear that this narrative was false.

Non-governmental organization (NGO, WEF)

Sijbesma

Defendant sub 14, hereinafter referred to as 'Sijbesma' has been a top executive at several global companies, including DSM. These companies are members of the WEF. Sijbesma currently holds positions including Supervisory Board member of Unilever N.V. , Chairman to the Supervisory Board of Philips N.V., Member of the WEF Supervisory Board, Climate Leader for the CO2 pricing project at the World Bank, Member of the External Advisory Board of the International Monetary Fund, Co-Chairman of the UN Global Center for Climate Adaptation ("GCA") and Ambassador to the UN World Food Program. As PRODUCTION 53, M..... et al. submit data from the WEF website on Sijbesma's resume.

Sijbesma is a strong supporter of the WEF's thinking, particularly the total realignment described by Klaus Schwab in his book Covid-19: The Great Reset (production 18). In order to enable his personal contribution to this total realignment, Sijbesma is a statutory member of the WEF. As evidence of this, M..... c.s. refer to page 2 of the extract submitted as production 33 regarding the WEF. In that recast, all factors determining a human life are made the subject of forced change by the WEF and the UN. Characteristic of this political thinking is that this forced and planned change is presented as justified by pretending that the world is burdened with major crises that can only be solved by centralized hard global intervention. Because of the portrayed severity of these crises and the pretense that science has a solution for these crises, a global plan is being implemented by defendants, including Sijbesma. This plan is part of Agenda 21 (July 14, 1992) and Agenda 2030 (Sept. 27, 2015) and is called "The Great Reset. As PRODUCTION 54, M..... et al. submit pages one through three[28] of the Agenda 21. As PRODUCTION 55, M..... et al. are submitting the first four pages of Agenda 2030[29].

At the beginning of the pretended Covid-19 pandemic, Sijbesma says he was asked by the Cabinet on March 26, 2020, to scale up the GGD's testing capacity with regard to Covid-19 testing. He was also asked to help determine the vaccination strategy. In that regard, Sijbesma was granted the title of "special coronagezant" by the Cabinet. In doing so, Sijbesma presented himself as a benevolent volunteer. As PRODUCTION 56, M..... et al. submit information sourced from [www.rijksoverheid.nl](http://www.rijksoverheid.nl) about Sijbesma's role as special envoy for the corona crisis. His ties to the

WEF were deliberately disregarded by Sijbesma and the defendants.

A play was staged by Sijbesma in his capacity as corona envoy that was widely disseminated through the media and also misled M..... et al. Sijbesma participated in the lie that the Covid-19 tests would involve a scarce resource. In the interview at Buitenhof on April 12, 2020, Sijbesma stated major problems with the procurement of Covid-19 tests. Compared to other countries, the Netherlands would have difficulty and limited purchasing those Covid-19 tests from international producers because the Netherlands had a restrictive testing policy. As PRODUCTION 57, M..... et al. submit a screenshot of the relevant interview at Buitenhof dated April 12, 2020.

One of Sijbesma's ancillary positions is climate leader of the World Bank Group. The World Bank has an analysis and visualization tool accessible to anyone via the Internet[30] called "DataBank. The DataBank uses what is known as the World Integrated Trade Solution ("WITS"). WITS refers to software developed by the World Bank in cooperation with the various components of the UN[31]. This software allows users to view and retrieve information on world trade and tariffs. As PRODUCTION 58, M..... c.s. submit information related to WITS as published on the WITS website.

Through WITS, import and export data driven between countries are recorded in 2017 for Covid-19 Test Kits and for Covid-19 Diagnostic Test Instruments and apparatus. The "World Customs Organization" as part of the World Bank has assigned the Covid-19 Testkits the unique classification number HS-2017 with production number 3002.15 in 2017. Covid-19 Diagnostic Test Instruments and apparatus was assigned the unique classification number HS-2017 with production number 9027.80 in 2017. As PRODUCTION 59, M..... c.s. submit the information regarding world trade in 'Covid-19 test kits' and 'Covid-19 diagnostic test instruments and apparatus' in 2017 as it was retrievable through WITS until September 7, 2020.

WITS also recorded the inter-country driven import and export of many millions of Covid-19 Test Kits and of Diagnostic Test Instruments and apparatus in 2018. Many millions of Covid-19 Test Kits were purchased by the European Union and Germany, among others. As PRODUCTION 60 leggen M..... c.s. the information regarding world trade in 'Covid-19 Testkits' and 'Covid-19 diagnostic test instruments and apparatus' in 2018 as it was retrievable through WITS until September 7, 2020.

On September 7, 2020, WITS changed the data submitted above as Exhibits 59 and 60 in such a way that the description in the listings thereof no longer read 'Covid-19 test kits' and 'Covid-19 diagnostic test instruments and apparatus,' but came to be called 'Medical test kits' and 'Medical diagnostic test instruments and apparatus.' This change did not go unnoticed. As PRODUCTION 61, M..... et al. submit a printout of the aforementioned data in WITS as requested and printed through WITS on September 7, 2020. As production 59 shows, although the description in the lists was changed, not in the text below the lists where the word "Covid-19" was still used.

The situation described above in WITS as of September 7, 2020 did not last long. That very same day, WITS was again updated, which also removed the word 'Covid-19' from the text under the listings. M..... et al. expressly offer to prove the correctness of their assertions regarding WITS through witnesses and expert witnesses.

The representation Sijbesma gave at Buitenhof is, in view of what has been stated above regarding WITS, demonstrably false. It is in reality a Covid-19 project that is financed by the World Bank worldwide. This project bears the project name 'Covid-19 Strategic Preparedness and Response Program ('SPRP')' at the World Bank. According to the World Bank's schedule, the SPRP is expected to run until April 1, 2025.

M..... et al. cannot escape the impression that the WITS system actually serves as a solvency monitoring system for UN and World Bank member countries. From their research in the patent registers, M..... et al. further believe that the financial interests of the world banking family Rothschild in the Covid-19 project were anchored in, among other things, the patent with number US 2020/0279585 A1. This patent is entitled "system and method for testing for Covid-19" and was applied for by Richard A. Rothschild on May 17, 2020 and published on September 3, 2020. The basis of the patented invention is contained in the provisional application number 62 / 240,783 dated October 13, 2015. This application covered an invention described as "system and method for using, biometric, and displaying biometric data" and previously resulted in a granted patent under number US 2017/0229149 A1. These patents give the inventor exclusive legal and economic rights with respect to the invention whereby biometric data of people in combination with video images of their surroundings are centrally monitored. Once this occurs, the Rothschild family has far-reaching rights based on its patents.

As PRODUCTION 62, M..... et al. submit the patent with number US 2020/0279585 A1[32].

M..... et al suspect that this technology patented by world banker Richard A. Rothschild will be deployed by defendants as part of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project. The patent will then be able to provide the legal basis for the financial claim of this world banking family in connection with the use of the patented invention by virtually the entire world population involved in the Great Reset. Significantly, the WEF talks about its projects The Internet of Things and The Internet of Bodies. The patented invention is crucial to these projects in which everything, and especially people's bodies, will be connected via the 5G and 6G Internet technology. It leads to no doubt for M..... et al. that given his aforementioned positions, Sijbesma has something to do with this. As PRODUCTION 63, M..... c.s. submit information from the WEF website regarding the linking of people's biometric data to the Internet of Things as well as explanations thereof.

If M..... c.s. had known what Sijbesma actually stands for and what he is all about, M..... c.s. would not have wanted to have anything to do with the Covid-19 injections and would not have had them put in place under any circumstances.

It follows from the foregoing facts that the defendants, including Sijbesma, knew about Covid-19 as a project and not as a disease, which in any case was in progress before 2017 under the project name "Covid-19 Strategic Preparedness and Response Program ("SPRP"). All this explains why the WEF president - Klaus Schwab - was able to publish his book 'Covid-19: The Great Reset' as early as June 2020 with inside information.

As an insider of the World Bank, the UN and the WEF, Sijbesma is completely familiar with and

involved in the Covid-19 project to lead to the Great Reset. This involvement is the real reason why the Cabinet, including defendants De Jonge, Rutte, Kaag, Hoekstra and Van Nieuwenhuizen appointed him as the Dutch coronagezant.

Sijbesma, during the interview at Buitenhof on April 12, 2020, knew from the official narrative that (1) the Chinese authorities had revealed the genetic code of the suspected virus to the world on January 11, 2020, and that (2) Pfizer on January 14, 2020 - three days later - already had a Covid-19 vaccine that they were going to test from that same date. It is obvious that Sijbesma, as special coroner, should have disclosed this to the Dutch public, including M..... et al. The entire thrust of his speech was aimed at nothing but the Great Reset.

Sijbesma can be blamed for being an executor of the Great Reset on behalf of the WEF and, in that context, deliberately misled M..... et al. as to the usefulness and necessity of the Covid-19 injections. If Sijbesma had actually been a special coronary acting in the interest of the health of the Dutch population, Sijbesma would have given honest and complete information and M..... c.s. would not (could not) have had the Covid-19 injections. What was stated with Rutte about Article 97a of the Penal Code also applies to Sijbesma.

## Gates

Defendant sub 15, hereinafter referred to as 'Gates' is one of the richest people in the world. His wealth comes largely from his interests in Microsoft. He has placed most of his assets in a foundation under American law, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, which has its registered office in Seattle, United States of America. According to its website[33] this foundation aims to fight poverty, disease and inequality worldwide.

Gates is also founder of and associated with 'Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance' (hereafter referred to as 'GAVI'). When GAVI was founded in 1999, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation provided as much as \$750,000,000.00 to vaccinate children in poor countries. Among other things, Gates has access to every organization in the world through GAVI and influence over, for example, governments, WHO, UNICEF, the World Bank, the vaccine industry, research and technical agencies, civil society organizations and other private philanthropists. In this regard, Gates regularly visits Rutte in his study in the turret of the Binnenhof. As PRODUCTION 64, M..... et al. submit information sourced from RTL News and Twitter of some of these visits by Gates to Rutte.

Together, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and Gavi are by far the largest donors to the WHO and shape its policies.

The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and Microsoft are key strategic partners of the WEF and, through their input, make significant contributions to the development of the WEF's strategy and its Great Reset. As PRODUCTION 65, M..... c.s. submit information taken from the WEF's website regarding the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and Microsoft.

Gates wants the world to believe that in addition to being a philanthropist, he is also a forecaster of

the future. Back in 2015, Gates predicted that no longer wars would threaten humanity's future, but viruses. As PRODUCTION 66, M..... et al. submit a screenshot of a show at TED talk dated April 3, 2015 in which Gates demonstrates his alleged predictive gift. As PRODUCTION 67, M..... et al. submit a screenshot of a May 27, 2015 video sourced from YouTube titled "What Bill Gates is afraid of.

In the aforementioned videos, Gates creates fear of the arrival of a deadly variant of a new Corona virus based on unproven assertions about the Spanish flu and Ebola virus. In doing so, Gates presents himself as a protector of the world by, among other things, making investments in "computer modeling" of viruses and encouraging new global-scale projects to be developed in the context of detecting virus outbreaks, creating a huge testing capacity and the lightning-fast development of methods for frequent vaccination of everyone in the world.

On May 15, 2018, the WEF, in conjunction with John Hopkins Center for Health Security in Washington DC, is hosting a training based on a simulation of a global pandemic under the code name "Clade X: A Pandemic Exercise." [34] The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation is one of the John Hopkins Center's major "Philanthropy Partners." [35] As PRODUCTION 68, M..... et al. submit a brief explanation of the pandemic role-play, the trailer and the full recording of the [36] Clade X role-play. The participants therein were not allowed to criticize the pandemic scenario outlined therein. Clade X was a role-play in preparation for the implementation of the Covid-19 project. By M..... et al. in this subpoena, the defendants have been categorized according to their roles in the Covid-19: The Great Reset project. In Clade X, those very roles were practiced in advance and defendants essentially played their roles exactly as they were practiced in Clade X. In effect, the pretended Covid-19 pandemic was thus practiced before the eyes of the world.

Clade X had its sequel on July 18, 2018 in a special WEF meeting in Davos. This simulated a coming pandemic with the topic: '6 Ways countries can prepare for the next infectious disease pandemic'. The Netherlands was also widely represented at this WEF meeting. As PRODUCTION 69, M..... c.s hereby submit information from the WEF website regarding this WEF pandemic simulation dated July 18, 2018. The apparent purpose of this meeting was to agree on what the future after a major pandemic outbreak as practiced with specifically Clade X should look like. In this regard, the information to be read as production 69 includes:

'...At the end of the exercise we proposed six long-term policy goals, that if enacted could prevent, or at least mitigate, the outcome of a pandemic similar in scale to Clade X:

1. Develop the capability to produce new vaccines, drugs and rapid diagnostics for novel pathogens within months, not years [37]. ...'

On January 18, 2019, the WEF and the Harvard Global Health Institute are releasing a so-called "White Paper. In doing so, they warn of an imminent outbreak of a global infectious disease. Through the White Paper, called "Outbreak Readiness and Business Impact Protecting Lives and Livelihoods across the Global Economy," WEF and WHO are preparing member countries (Agenda 2030 contractors) for the outbreak of a serious pandemic. As PRODUCTION 70, M..... et al. submit the first three pages of the WEF Whitepaper 'Outbreak Readiness and Business Impact Protecting Lives and Livelihoods across the Global Economy' as well as information on it from the

WEF website.

On October 18, 2019, the WEF together with the Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation in New York are organizing a comprehensive exercise of a high-level pandemic created by an nCoV virus under the project name "Event 201. The narrative with which participants simulate pandemic control in role-plays during this event essentially matches the narrative of the pretended Covid-19 pandemic from early 2020 to - in the Netherlands - March 10, 2023. In addition to the similarity in the narrative of an infectious virus causing many deaths, even more than with Clade X during Event 201, there is a striking similarity in how the media, government and NGOs will present themselves to the public, including M..... et al, in the later pretended Covid-19 crisis. At the conclusion of this Event 201, some very specific "suggestions" are given to the participants for what to do in the event of a coming major pandemic. M..... c.s. submit as PRODUCTION 71 information regarding Event 201 taken from the John Hopkins website as well as a full video recording of Event 201.

During all of the aforementioned simulations, the narrative was that a vaccine was needed to save humanity.

According to the official narrative presented to the world two months after Event 201 as part of the implementation of the Covid-19 project (the pre-conceived Covid-19 pandemic), a new beta coronavirus was discovered in late 2019 from Wuhan, China that quickly spread throughout the world and infected and killed many people.

The similarities between the scenario in Clade X, Event 201 and the official narrative regarding the pretended Covid-19 crisis provide compelling evidence that all of these events took place as part of the Covid-19 project. Those preparations for the Covid-19 project included, as stated earlier in this subpoena, preparations for the production of "vaccines" and the filing of patents on those "vaccines.

Specifically on behalf of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, the pharmaceutical companies Moderna and Pfizer have already started developing beta coronavirus mRNA vaccines since mid-2011. The first patent in that context was published on Feb. 28, 2020 with number US-10702600-B1 and is based on provisional applications dating back to Oct. 22, 2015 and belongs to BioNTech GmbH and Moderna TX Inc. As PRODUCTION 72, M..... c.s submit the first page of the patent with number US-10702600-B1.

M..... et al. conclude from this patent (production 72) and its history that invention on which, according to the official narrative, the Covid-19 injections are based already existed in 2015 and thus of a lightning-fast development that allegedly took place with "Warp speed" and "The speed of science" cannot in reality have taken place. The statements of Moderna's CEO to MEP Mr. Cristian Terheş (production 41) that Moderna's clinical trials were already started in 2017 are more than plausible given the implications of this patent. In short, there is a big lie if it is stated that a "vaccine" was developed in a few months after the outbreak of the pretended Covid-19 pandemic.

The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation has spent no less than \$100,000,000.00 (one hundred million US dollars) invested in the development of the beta



coronavirus mRNA vaccines. According to the official narrative, the new coronavirus named Sars-CoV-2 belongs to the group of beta coronaviruses. As PRODUCTION 73, M..... et al submit information taken from Moderna's website and a search result from Google showing the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation's investment in beta coronavirus mRNA vaccines.

On December 12, 2019, Moderna and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases[38] (hereinafter "NIAID") enter into an agreement with the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, United States of America. This agreement is entitled 'Material Transfer Agreement'. This agreement shows that Moderna and NIAID each own half of the mRNA beta corona vaccines. This agreement directs the university to conduct "non-human challenge studies" on several "coronavirus vaccine candidates. These are tests in which laboratory animals, divided into groups that have or have not received the coronavirus vaccine to be tested - are intentionally infected with a coronavirus in which the efficacy of the mRNA beta corona vaccine is then assessed. It should be noted here that in a similar collaboration between the aforementioned parties, mRNA vaccines were already being tested for HIV, among other things, as of at least 2016. This agreement demonstrates that the narrative that mRNA-based coronavaccines would have been developed after a new coronavirus broke out in Wuhan is based on a big lie. As PRODUCTION 74, M..... et al. submit the Material Transfer Agreement dated December 12, 2019.

In the context of the pretended Covid-19 pandemic, WHO, through its Director General Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, declared to the world on March 11, 2020 that there was a Covid-19 pandemic. Gates, in his frequent media appearances thereafter, reinforced the official Covid-19 narrative by painting the picture from the beginning of the pretended pandemic that the world could only be saved by a drug that was supposed to have an effectiveness of at least 95%. Normal drugs could not live up to this effectiveness; only a yet-to-be-developed corona vaccine might be able to do so in the future. That vaccine, according to Gates, would then have to be administered to seven billion people. Gates outlined an urgent situation in the early days of the pretended pandemic in which scientists were busy developing several new vaccines. The hope, Gates said, was that within 18 months one of these vaccines would prove successful. This representation was false. In evidence, M..... et al. submit as PRODUCTION 75 a screenshot of a video message posted by Gates on YouTube on April 30, 2020, entitled "The race for a Covid-19 vaccine.

As shown above, the reality was that with funding from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation prior to the pretended corona pandemic, work had been underway for years to develop an mRNA-based vaccine against disease caused by a beta-coronavirus. All patents for the so-called Covid-19 vaccine had been filed years prior to the pandemic outbreak, and animal trials had already been conducted. Contrary to what Gates made it seem, there was no unprecedented achievement in finally bringing a Covid-19 "vaccine" to market within one year instead of 18 months.

The reality is that the preparations for the pretended Covid-19 pandemic were in all respects led by Gates. On the one hand, the Covid-19 vaccine had already been fully prepared and, on the other hand, the parties necessary to impose the measures of the pretended Covid-19 pandemic on the population, including M..... et al, had already been practiced worldwide by Gates, WHO and WEF.

A particularly objectionable aspect of Gates' media performance is that he said that as soon as the Covid-19 'vaccine' was on the market he would use it immediately, regardless of which manufacturer it came from. They would all be safe and effective "vaccines. M..... et al derived great

confidence from this statement by Gates. At the time this statement was made by Gates, namely December 3, 2020, Pfizer's clinical trials of its Covid-19 'vaccine' had already resulted in the deaths of subjects. M..... c.s. hereby refer to what was noted by Bourla in this regard about the Pfizer safety report of April 30, 2021 (production 38). Proving Gates' statements of December 3, 2020, M..... c.s. submit as PRODUCTION 76 a screen shot of an interview with Gates at the Today Exclusive show as posted on YouTube titled 'It Looks Like Almost All The Vaccines Are Going To Succeed'.

Gates prides himself on his knowledge of mRNA technology and, as the largest funder of its development, knows better than anyone how far that technology has advanced and what it actually entails. Gates is the person par excellence who has enabled all aspects of the development of the Covid-19 mRNA "vaccines. Gates has followed this development closely and protected his own financial interests involved through patents, holdings and agreements.

It is inconceivable that Gates who had all the interest and all the resources would not have known that in Pfizer's clinical trials as early as November / December 2020, subjects had died and subjects had suffered irreparable bodily harm. Moreover, in the opinion of M..... et al. it is well established that Gates knew that a key element of the development of the Covid-19 mRNA vaccines lay in the application of the highly dangerous graphene oxide as described at Bourla. There is no doubt that Gates intentionally violated the health of M..... et al.

Gates' influence on the Covid-19 deception intentionally caused along with the other defendants cannot be overstated. Gates is the primary private directing factor in WHO policy. This is because Gates, along with GAVI, accounts for a significant portion of WHO's budget, at least 15 percent of it. The Agenda 21 and Agenda 2030 contractors, including the State of the Netherlands, comply with what WHO prescribes. Gates is also sponsoring several Dutch institutions, including Universities, through the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, in order to ensure that the thinking needed for the Great Reset gets into the heads of as many people as possible. The latter is crucial to the success of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project. Information from the website of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation reveals that these institutions have accepted nearly half a billion Euros from Gates over the past few years in exchange for their cooperation in rolling out the 2030 Agenda and the Great Reset. As PRODUCTION 77, M..... c.s. submit an Excel statement containing information obtained through the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation website showing the amounts and recipients recorded thereon in this regard.

Gates can be charged with having prepared and currently implementing the pretended Covid-19 pandemic, the effects of which he knew would be disastrous worldwide, for years on behalf of Agenda 2030 and the Great Reset. In this way, Gates, in cooperation with defendants, enabled M..... et al. to be misled and thereby have the Covid-19 injections put in place. Without Gates' wrongful conduct, M..... et al. would not (could not) have had the Covid-19 injections made. What was stated with Rutte about Section 97a Penal Code also applies to Gates.

Semi government

Side

Defendant sub 16, hereinafter referred to as 'Kant' was appointed to the Lareb Collaboration Center (hereinafter referred to as 'Lareb') on March 13, 2013 as director with full power of attorney. As of December 28, 2021, Kant exchanged this formal position at Lareb for the position of the Chairman of the Board of Lareb. Also in this capacity, Kant has sole and independent authority. Kant has therefore been the de facto policymaker at Lareb since March 13, 2013. As PRODUCTION 78, M..... et al. submit data from the Trade Register relating to Lareb.

Lareb is an organization whose legal form is a foundation. Lareb is funded by the Medicines Evaluation Board (CBG) and the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport (VWS).

Lareb cooperates with the CBG and supports it in its legal task of pharmacovigilance. Lareb informs the CBG through alerts. If vaccines are involved, Lareb also informs the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). The RIVM can then take measures. For example, adjust the package insert, tighten up who may use the drug or receive the vaccine, or take a drug off the market. This makes Lareb a crucial intermediary in signaling side effects of the Covid-19 injections and warning in that context.

On Sept. 8, 2020, RIVM awarded Lareb the contract to develop a "Covid Information and Monitoring System" (CIMS). Crucial to this was that in this RIVM system the adverse reactions of the different batches of the various Covid-19 vaccines would be recorded per batch number by means of the relevant batch number and - if permission to do so was not explicitly denied - by means of the BSN number of the person in question.

A processor's agreement was concluded between RIVM and Lareb within the framework of privacy regulations. The purpose of this processor agreement was to enable Lareb to retrieve the vaccine product and batch number from RIVM's CIMS system using the patient's BSN number. In this way, Lareb completes the data on side effects of Covid-19 injections in RIVM's CIMS system.

An agreement was reached between De Jonge and Lareb whereby Lareb was instructed to register the side effects of the Covid-19 vaccines using the BSN number and batch number.

The importance of maintaining a record in which the distinguishable batch numbers are related to the reported adverse reactions is evident. After all, a batch number is a number assigned to a production run of medication. If something goes wrong in the production process, it is logical that the entire production round (batch) is afflicted with the same defect.

Batch numbers are the preferred means of verifying that the quality of drugs is consistent and that they are safe and effective. Without registration by means of batch numbers, there can be no effective signaling of defects in quality, safety and effectiveness.

In view of the above, there is no doubt that RIVM and Lareb are able to provide an overview of the registered side effects of the Covid-19 injections per batch number.

Lareb does not provide data by batch numbers

By means of a Woo request dated August 4, 2022, the Ministry of Kuipers was requested to make public overview lists of all batch numbers of the vaccines Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca, Janssen and Novavax. This Woo request also requested that summary records be made public regarding the number of adverse reactions and deaths linked to the batch numbers of the aforementioned vaccines. By decision dated March 29, 2023, Kuipers informed that VWS had made a search request to RIVM, Lareb and the CBG-MEB. Kuipers informed that at these bodies the requested documents were not found. Therefore, the search did not produce any documents. For this reason, the Woo request was not granted. As PRODUCTION 79, M..... et al. submit Kuipers' Woo decision dated March 29, 2023.

As indicated above, Lareb has a crucial role in pharmacovigilance. According to Lareb's website[39], as an independent organization, it regularly conducts research. The purpose of those studies, according to Lareb, is to gain more insight into known side effects of vaccines and drugs and to recognize new side effects earlier. According to its website, Lareb also collects information on the course, risk factors, treatment and burden of side effects. All with the goal of increasing the safety of drugs and vaccines. It is incompatible with this task of pharmacovigilance that Lareb claims it cannot make available data on side effects based on batch numbers.

Kant conducts a cover-up for the Covid-19 project

The aforementioned remarkable assertion by Lareb, and thus Kant, that no data can be provided using batch numbers has only one explanation: Kant is lying. This lie by Kant serves an interest other than the interest of public health. Kant's lie is critical to the success of the Covid-19 project. Worldwide, persons in the same position as Kant are perpetuating this lie for the purpose of the success of the Covid-19 project.

Kant's lie masks the vastly different adverse short-term effects between the various Covid-19 injection batches. Kant knows this better than anyone else because she is familiar with all the capabilities of the CIMS system and, as an independent authorized administrator on behalf of Lareb, accepted the assignment from the Kuipers Ministry to record side effects on a batch-by-batch basis.

Kant will not be able to rebut the plaintiffs' allegations that she knowingly and intentionally caused Lareb to neglect its drug monitoring task. The evidence for this claim by plaintiffs is evident and will be presented and explained below.

Kant's cover-up

Lareb, under Kant's leadership, kept records of adverse reactions to Covid-19 injections for the purposes of the Covid-19 project, always recording the relevant batch number.

Until November 19, 2022, Lareb recorded adverse reactions and deaths reported to it not only for the benefit of RIVM, but also for the international data system under the name "Vaers. Part of Kant's cover-up was the deliberate omission from the Dutch population of side effects and deaths resulting from Covid-19 injections using batch numbers. Kant, as indicated above, has adamantly denied that these data were available.

However, these data were placed in the international Vaers registration system by Kant using batch numbers. In Vaers these data at Dutch level could only be found with knowledge of the Vaers system and its search variables and codes. However, all Dutch data were removed from Vaers on November 18, 2022.

The data as it was findable in Vaers until Nov. 19, 2022, can be obtained through a historical database accessible online[40]. As a result, plaintiffs have access to the Covid-19 batch data contained in Vaers prior to Nov. 19, 2022.

An important example of the very large differences in batches of Covid-19 injections involves the Covid-19 Pfizer batch EM0477. This batch is of great interest because it was administered to the Dutch population as early as January 2021, the beginning of the vaccination campaign, and already immediately led to many and serious reports of adverse reactions and deaths. This batch is characterized by the fact that shortly after injection, already in February and March 2021, more than thirty persons were reported deceased. With regard to batch EM0477 more specifically, this batch led to 71 reports of adverse reactions of which 34 reports of deaths. It should be noted that a reading of the reports drawn up in connection with these notifications always reveals the 'Regulatory authority number' linked to the Lareb official in question. The first letters of this number are always 'NL-LRB'. What is shocking here is that the side effects and deaths described in these reports almost all occurred within days and the harmfulness of this batch could not have escaped Lareb and thus Kant. While this batch had already led to more than thirty reports of deaths at Lareb by March 2021, there was no signal from Kant's side that the safety of the "Covid-19 vaccine" could no longer be guaranteed. As PRODUCTION 80, M..... et al. submit information from the Vaers system regarding the reports of the 34 deaths reported to Lareb from batch EM0477.

That the Pfizer batch EM0477 given as an example was particularly lethal compared to other batches administered in the Netherlands in the same period, can be easily determined by comparison with other Pfizer Covid-19 batches administered to the Dutch population in the same period, such as the batches numbered EJ7133, EJ6975 and CE6792. Each of these other batches has only one report of non-fatal side effects. As PRODUCTION 81 M..... c.s. submit a comparative overview in which the aforementioned batches administered in the Netherlands are included.

Comparison of international data on the Pfizer Covid-19 batch EM0477 with international data on other Covid-19 batches shows enormous differences - more than a factor 100 - in the number of reported deaths and injuries per batch. As PRODUCTION 82, M..... et al. submit three pages regarding a 908-page international comparison[41] between batches.

That there are very large differences in lethality and side effects of Pfizer Covid-19 injection batches was proven by research conducted in Denmark under the direction of Professor Peter Riis Hansen. Professor Hansen is affiliated with the Department of Cardiology at the University of Copenhagen and an expert with the European Medicines Agency (EMA). His research shows that approximately 4% of all batches are responsible for over 70% of all reported adverse reactions, 27% of all serious adverse reactions and 47% of all reported deaths after vaccination. As a result of this study, questions were raised in the European Parliament on May 10, 2023. As PRODUCTION 83, M..... c.s. submit Professor Hansen's research as well as information about his appointment to EMA and about the questions raised in the European Parliament about his research.

Despite all the facts and evidence, Kant persists in her lies. Kant's lies serve the Covid-19 project and constitute a deliberate and direct attack on the health of the Dutch people, including M..... et al. Kant literally states "We can argue that fear of the vaccine was unjustified. This is a lie and deception of unprecedented magnitude and it goes without saying that Kant is thereby acting unlawfully towards M..... et al. and the entire Dutch people. As PRODUCTION 84, M..... et al. submit a screenshot of an article in the AD of November 20, 2021, which includes the above quoted statement.

Kant's role in the Covid-19 deception intentionally caused along with the other defendants cannot be overstated. As indicated above, Lareb and thus Kant is funded by the Medicines Evaluation Board (CBG) and the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport (VWS). Kant allowed himself to be paid by pharmaceuticals and the Ministry of Kuipers and corrupted Lareb over a period of ten years so that the Covid-19 project and the Great Reset through the Covid-19 injection campaign could be deployed. To this end, Kant runs Lareb.

As for the almost unimaginable seriousness of Kant's conduct, M..... et al. attach to pointing out that Kant is a key participant in the Disinformation Think Tank. M..... c.s. substantiated before Koopmans that it is precisely the Denktank Disinformation that, through influencers recruited for that purpose, promotes the provision of disinformation for the benefit of the Covid-19 project. It is all the more objectionable that Kant is personally involved in giving Covid-19 courses for general practitioners in which she leaves these general practitioners ignorant of what Lareb actually registered in the batches to be distinguished and tells the general practitioners nothing of her position with the Disinformation Think Tank. As PRODUCTION 85, M..... et al. submit information regarding the aforementioned GP Covid-19 courses given by Kant.

Kant, with her Lareb foundation, has been an important source of information for plaintiffs regarding the safety and effectiveness of the Covid-19 injections. It was Kant who intentionally falsely reassured plaintiffs by covering up the very important signal that some Covid-19 batches are far more deadly and/or far more harmful than others. Had Kant shared this information about the large differences in reports among the distinguishable batches with the Dutch population - which was her duty - then plaintiffs would not (could not) have had a Covid-19 injection and would not have suffered any damage. What was stated at Rutte about Article 97a Penal Code also applies to Kant.

Government

Defendant sub 17, hereinafter "The State" has as its primary duty the protection of its citizens. The State is not permitted to transfer this task for which it is exclusively responsible and liable to a foreign power. This is without prejudice to whether this foreign power is a foreign public law entity or a private law organization. In performing its primary duty, the State has to respect the fundamental and international human rights of its citizens and to actively protect its citizens to this end. This is in order to ensure that its citizens are fully entitled to these rights at all times. A part of these rights important to this case can be found in the ethical principles developed by the judiciary in its Nuremberg trials. These principles are recorded in the 1947 Nuremberg Code. The core of Nuremberg code 1947 forms the basis of Article 7 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (hereinafter referred to as "ICCPR") concluded in New York in 1966. This article reads as follows:

'No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. In particular, no one may, without his freely given consent, be subjected to medical or scientific experimentation.'

The State is to be charged that without a freely given consent by its citizens, including M..... c.s., to participate in the Covid-19 experiment (Covid-19 project), a medical and scientific (behavioral) experiment was conducted on all its citizens, including M..... c.s., causing serious harm to M..... c.s., among others.

To the extent that the State would believe that because not every citizen took a Covid-19 injection it did not constitute a medical and scientific experiment on all its citizens, this view is based on a misreading of Article 7 ICCPR. After all, the data of citizens without Covid-19 injection are also registered by the RIVM and the experiment was partly aimed at influencing the behavior of citizens through torture and cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment and punishment. The Covid-19 measures imposed by the State under the influence of defendants under the Great Reset on its citizens involved torture by, among other things, having to wear sickening mouth masks and maintain a minimum distance of one and a half meters from each other. The Covid-19 measures were inhumane given their malignant nature as explained in detail in this subpoena. For all citizens, even if not everyone was aware of them under the influence of fear and deception, these measures were inhumane and degrading. On top of that, the State punished citizens who opposed the unlawful Covid-19 measures.

That the State unlawfully failed to protect its citizens, including M..... et al, is evidenced by the fact that the State engaged with the other defendants and allowed the other defendants to corrupt the institutions that should have protected citizens. It was obvious to the State that the other defendants were working in cooperation for years to erode the power of the State in order to make the Dutch people, including M..... et al, susceptible to the Covid-19: The Great Reset project. That all defendants are connected to the private foundation the WEF and that their actions are aimed at the implementation of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project is established in view of the State's information position. Indeed, this information position is partly determined by defendants as its officials and subordinates. In addition, as early as 2017, the WEF in the person of Schwab openly stated that it penetrates all the world's cabinets through its members. As PRODUCTION 86leggen M..... et al. a video regarding Schwab's 2017 statement. The fact that the State allows WEF and in particular defendants within Dutch relations to play their harmful role for so long results in the fact

that, in Schwab's words, "we have to prepare for a more angry world" and therefore "necessary action" must be taken. The bleak future outlook associated with this for the Dutch people, including M..... et al, Schwab put into words in a speech from July 14, 2020. M..... et al. submit as PRODUCTION 87 a screenshot of Schwab's video dated July 14, 2020.

The extremely reprehensible and evil acts and omissions of the State are manifestly unlawful and lead to increasing excesses under which the Dutch people, including M..... c.s. are suffering. Defendants are all fully responsible and liable for these excesses because at their core they can always be traced back to the evidently unlawful Covid-19: The Great Reset project to which Defendants lend their full cooperation and in which Defendants do not hesitate to abuse the institutions of the State for that purpose. M..... et al. cite a number of examples of this.

Examples of excesses

WEF partner Gates invests in Dutch WEF partner Heineken

A first example of an excess is that the State allowed WEF partner Gates to make an investment of EUR 880,000,000.00 in the beer company Heineken here in the Netherlands. Heineken is a WEF partner who, in the Netherlands during the pretended corona crisis in July 2021, through malicious manipulative television advertising, induced people, especially the elderly, to be inoculated with Covid-19 injections. This manipulation used the false pretense that freedom would accrue exclusively to people vaccinated with Covid-19 injections. This translated into the WEF / Heineken credo "The night belongs to the vaccinated. With this, Heineken carried out the Covid-19: The Great Reset project with the consent of the State. The State should have countered all this to protect its citizens, including M..... et al. It should be remembered that among the very elderly who were addressed and misled with the aforementioned credo, many deaths and injuries resulting from the Covid-19 injections were reported. As PRODUCTION 88, M..... c.s. submit a screenshot of the Heineken video as well as information from the WEF website regarding Heineken and information regarding Gates' investment in Heineken.

The State and its officials are violating the fundamental for a rule of law rule of article 162 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

A second excess to which M..... et al. draw attention is the failure of the State and its officials to apply article 162 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. This article reads as follows:

1. Public colleges and officials who, in the exercise of their ministry, become aware of a crime with the detection of which they are not charged, are obliged to report it without delay, with the delivery of the documents relating to the case, to the public prosecutor or to one of his assistant officers,

a. if the crime is a malfeasance as referred to in Title XXVIII of the Second Book of the Penal Code, or



b. if the crime was committed by a public official who thereby violated a special official duty or made use of power, opportunity or means bestowed upon him by his office, or

c. if the offence violates or makes unlawful use of a regulation the implementation of which or the care for compliance with which has been assigned to them.

On request, they will provide the public prosecutor or the assistant public prosecutor designated by the latter with all information concerning offences which they are not charged with investigating and which have come to their knowledge in the course of their duties.

3. The provisions of paragraphs 1 and 2 shall not apply to an official who, by reporting or providing information, would endanger the prosecution of himself or of a person in whose prosecution he might be excused from testifying.

4. Similar obligations apply to legal persons or bodies of legal persons whose duties and powers are defined by or pursuant to the law, insofar as designated by general order in council.

5. By or pursuant to an order in council, regulations can be given in the interest of a proper implementation of this article.

6. The reporting of crimes referred to in the first paragraph under c can be further restricted in consultation with the public prosecutor and with due observance of the regulations referred to in the previous paragraph.

7. The nomination for an order in council as referred to in the fourth or fifth paragraph shall not be made until after the draft has been published in the Netherlands Government Gazette and two months have elapsed since the day on which the publication was made.

M..... c.s. are of the opinion that the just and incorruptible officials of the State having knowledge of the malfeasance alleged in this subpoena are obliged to report forthwith, with delivery of the documents pertaining to the case, to the public prosecutor or to one of his assistant officers. In the opinion of M..... c.s., the fact that to date no report has been made pursuant to article 162 Sv. may be called an excess.

M..... c.s. note that many well-intentioned and well-informed Dutch citizens made their major objections and concerns known to Dutch officials, but that nothing was subsequently done about it. A striking example of this is that Mr. Ton Koenderink in the municipality of Haaksbergen on January 4, 2023, by using his right to speak, fully informed the municipal council about the repeatedly cited Pfizer safety report of April 30, 2021 (production 40) and its implications. It is particularly objectionable that, as this video shows, the State is silencing its own citizens to death. A screenshot of Mr. Ton Koenderink's extremely important and apt lecture dated January 4, 2023 is submitted as PRODUCTION 89.

The State threatens via national television unvaccinated citizens of the Netherlands with death.

A third and final particularly evil excess attributable to the State to which M..... et al. draw attention

relates to the following. During the pretended Covid-19 crisis the State openly threatened people on national television who did not want to have Covid-19 injections with death. To this end, the State, through its public broadcasters NOS, NTR and VPRO, had two gruesome video messages made up and broadcast through the central government's Postbus 51 television information channel. In these videos the clear message is given that those without Covid-19 injection should be ruthlessly killed. In evidence of this, M..... et al. submit as PRODUCTION 90 two screenshots of videos from October 2021. The State's message contained herein is abject and infamous. M..... et al. were mentally abused by the State upon seeing these video messages.

Had the State complied with its duty to protect its citizens, M..... et al. would not (could not) have had the Covid-19 injections.

Plaintiffs, damages

Plaintiffs are all Dutch citizens residing in the Netherlands who have put their trust in what Defendants have propagated and as a result have been misled and as a result have had several Covid-19 injections placed. All plaintiffs suffer damages as a result of this deception and as a result of the Covid-19 injections that were placed on them as a result of this deception. Plaintiffs' damages are both material and immaterial within the meaning of Section 6:106 of the Civil Code.

Plaintiffs' material damages involve property damage, in particular personal injury. As a result of the Covid-19 injections, all plaintiffs were injected with (among other things) the toxic graphene oxide without their freely given consent. It is plausible that the Covid-19 injections, and in particular this poison included in those injections, cause permanent adverse health consequences in humans. This alone makes it plausible that all plaintiffs suffer personal injury. In the case of plaintiffs sub 4, sub 6 and sub 7, the personal injury has manifested itself in the form of serious physical injuries. In the case of the remaining plaintiffs, there are currently no external physical signs of grievous bodily injury, but there are minor symptoms. This does not alter the fact that it is plausible that the Covid-19 injections caused bodily injury to them as well. With regard to the latter, it should be noted that it is plausible that plaintiffs' health will also suffer in the long term from these Covid-19 injections. As to their injury and its plausibility, plaintiffs refer to the diagnoses made by medical specialists. These diagnoses are submitted as PRODUCTION 91.

Besides material damages, all plaintiffs suffer immaterial damages within the meaning of Section 6:106 of the Dutch Civil Code. This damage consists of plaintiffs' mentally experienced harm (feelings of pain, suffering, shame, powerlessness and uncertainty about their health) as a result of the deception brought about by plaintiffs. Also the Covid-19 injections themselves, more specifically the fact that plaintiffs know that they have been injected with it while they cannot know what exactly the consequences of this will be, lead to immaterial damage in the sense of Section 6:106 of the Dutch Civil Code.

Within the framework of the immaterial damage, it is further noted that plaintiffs have argued, giving reasons, that the defendants had the intention to cause them harm. Also, in connection with the provisions of Section 6:106 subsection 1 sub c BW, it is noted that plaintiffs sub 2 and 3 as well as plaintiffs sub 4 and 5 are spouses of each other.

It is established case law[42] that for referral to the damages state procedure it is necessary and also sufficient that the existence or possibility of damage as a result of an attributable shortcoming or wrongful act is plausible. Plaintiffs believe that with the subpoena before them, they have amply satisfied this standard for referral to the damages state proceedings.

#### Unlawful act by defendants

The law stipulates in Section 6:162 of the Dutch Civil Code that he who commits an unlawful act towards another, which can be attributed to him, is obliged to compensate the damage the other party suffers as a result.

An infringement of a right and an act or omission contrary to a statutory duty or to what is proper in social intercourse according to unwritten law are regarded as unlawful acts, subject to the presence of a justification.

The plaintiffs have detailed in this subpoena the manner in which the defendants have engaged in the implementation of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project and the widespread deception of citizens necessary to do so. As a result, Defendants have acted in a manifestly unlawful manner. In doing so, all of the types of wrongful acts listed in Section 6:162 of the Civil Code apply to the defendants. Below plaintiffs will provide significant examples of these in a non-exhaustive manner.

#### Infringement of a right

When it comes to the infringement of plaintiffs' rights, plaintiffs point in particular to Article 7 from the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights,[43] which provides:

No one shall be subjected to torture, or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. In particular, no one shall, without his freely given consent, be subjected to medical or scientific experimentation.

The plaintiffs, at the hands of the defendants, have been placed in a situation where they have been subjected to continuous medical and scientific experimentation without their freely given consent.

#### Acting in violation of a legal duty

Article 2 of the Penal Code states that Dutch criminal law is applicable to anyone guilty of any criminal offense in the Netherlands. None of the defendants, including those residing abroad, can evade his or her legal duty to comply with the Dutch Penal Code.

Reference has already been made above to the significance of article 97a Sr. which concerns a crime against the security of the state. This article reads as follows.

The attack undertaken with the intent to bring the Kingdom wholly or partly under foreign rule or to secede a part thereof shall be punishable by life imprisonment or temporary imprisonment of not more than thirty years or a fine of the fifth category.

The plaintiffs believe that the manner in which the defendants have engaged in the implementation of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project and the widespread deception of citizens required for that purpose should be qualified as an act in violation of the statutory duty to refrain from engaging in criminal conduct within the meaning of this article.

It is further noted that the defendants' actions qualify as assault within the meaning of Article 300 of the Penal Code. The defendants intentionally harmed the plaintiffs' health in doing so. This article reads.

1. Assault shall be punishable by imprisonment not exceeding three years or a fine of the fourth category.
2. If the offense results in serious bodily injury, the guilty party shall be punished by imprisonment for not more than four years or a fine of the fourth category.
3. If the offense results in death, he shall be punished with imprisonment for not more than six years or a fine of the fourth category.
4. Intentional injury to health shall be equated with assault.
5. Attempt to commit this crime shall not be punishable.

Especially for the benefit of plaintiffs sub 4, sub 6 and sub 7 who have suffered grievous bodily harm at the hands of defendants, reference is hereby made to article 308 of the Penal Code. This article provides as follows.

1. He who is responsible for causing serious bodily injury to another or such bodily injury as to cause temporary illness or hindrance in the performance of his official or professional duties shall be punished with imprisonment for a term not exceeding one year or a fine of the fourth category.
2. If the fault consists in recklessness, he shall be punished by imprisonment for a term not

exceeding two years or a fine of the fourth category.

Specifically with regard to the State and its officials, it should be reiterated that plaintiffs point to a flagrant violation of Article 162 of the Code of Criminal Procedure which legally obliges officials to report - in short - malfeasance in office.

Finally, plaintiffs here point to the defendants' obligation to comply with the legal obligations under the International Crimes Act. In this Act, article 3 criminalizes genocide. This article reads as follows.

1. He who with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, as such, a national, ethnic or religious group, or a group belonging to a particular race:

a. kills members of the group;

b. causes serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group;

c. intentionally imposes on the group conditions of life aimed at its total or partial physical destruction;

d. takes measures designed to prevent births within the group; or

e. forcibly transfers children of the group to another group,

shall be punished as guilty of genocide with life imprisonment or temporary imprisonment of not more than thirty years or a fine of the sixth category.

2. The conspiracy and incitement to genocide which takes place in public, orally or by writing or picture, shall be punished equal to the attempt.

Plaintiffs wish that your court, in its investigation of the truth, also examine whether genocide was committed against plaintiffs on grounds b and c of this article. Plaintiffs believe that, in view of the facts and circumstances fully and truthfully alleged by them in this subpoena, this is plausible.

Acting in violation of what is socially appropriate.

In this subpoena, the plaintiffs have explained with respect to each of the defendants what function they perform in society. Defendants have been categorized into groups according to which social position they (primarily) occupy.

For government officials, in particular, the standard applies to serve citizens, including M..... c.s., with integrity so that trust in the government cannot be betrayed. Defendants sub 1 through 10, by

carrying out the Covid-19: The Great Reset project and the widespread deception of citizens required to do so, grossly violated this trust. To the extent that this conduct is not prohibited by the Act and rules of conduct, such conduct is in violation of what is appropriate under unwritten law in society.

For the pharmaceutical industry, its right to exist depends on the trust that citizens can place in the safety and effectiveness of drugs which they may assume have been properly tested. Based on this fact and the fact that drugs affect people's health, the pharmaceutical industry has a duty to treat the public's health interests in safe, effective and well-tested drugs very carefully. The pharmaceutical industry's actions must be aimed first and foremost at not betraying the public's trust. Through the pharmaceutical industry's cooperation in the implementation of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project and the widespread deception of citizens required to do so, the pharmaceutical industry grossly violated this trust. To the extent that this conduct is not prohibited by the Act and rules of conduct, such conduct comes into conflict with what is proper in society according to unwritten law.

As far as the mass media are concerned, they should inform the public in a careful, reliable, independent, pluralistic and objective manner. In connection with this, the mass media should carefully control the information they provide to the public. In doing so, the mass media should leave room for different opinions and facilitate a balanced debate. In this summons it has been explained that the mass media is committing censorship and in this way cooperating in the implementation of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project and the widespread deception required for it. To the extent that such conduct is not prohibited by the Act and Rules of Conduct, such conduct is contrary to what is proper under unwritten law in society.

For (officials at) non-governmental organizations, it is true that they pride themselves on the idea that they want to support people and governments without the pursuit of profit in their mission to let humanity and its environment flourish. In doing so, they present themselves as benefactors who want to support this mission. This way of presenting themselves creates in the public the justified expectation that these organizations and their officials will not act in their own interests. Given this, these organizations and their officials are subject to the unwritten norm that they must not harm the interests of people and their environment. This subpoena describes in detail that defendants Sijbesma and Gates are cooperating in the implementation of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project and the widespread deception required for it. This project is harmful to the health of people and their environment. To the extent that such actions are not prohibited by the Act, such actions violate what is proper under unwritten law in society.

For the semi-government, the State and citizens must be able to count on the fact that the government tasks entrusted to them at the expense of the Dutch people, including M..... c.s., are performed with integrity and care. Kant, by carrying out the Covid-19: The Great Reset project and the widespread deception of citizens required to do so, grossly violated this trust. To the extent that this conduct is not prohibited in the Act and rules of conduct, this conduct is in violation of what is proper in society according to unwritten law.

As indicated above, the State's primary duty is to protect its citizens. With this it is incompatible that the State facilitates and allows the Covid-19: The Great Reset project to be carried out and the widespread deception of citizens in the Netherlands required for this purpose. Insofar as this conduct is not prohibited in the Act and rules of conduct, this conduct comes into conflict with what

is proper in society according to unwritten law.

Establishment of liability, *conditio sine qua non*

This subpoena has consistently set forth that but for the unlawful conduct of defendants, both committed individually and in association, plaintiffs would not have had Covid-19 injections put in place which would have prevented their damages from occurring.

Given the group liability to be asserted below, the *conditio sine qua non* connection is not a requirement for the admissibility of the claims of M..... et al.

Attribution of damages to defendants.

The wrongful acts alleged against the defendants may be attributed to them because they are due to their fault and to causes for which they are responsible by virtue of the law and generally accepted practice. Indeed, the defendants all actively participated in the implementation of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project and the widespread deception of citizens, including M..... et al, necessary for that purpose.

Group Liability

Section 6:166 of the Civil Code provides that if one of persons belonging to a group unlawfully inflicts damage and the likelihood of so inflicting damage should have deterred those persons from engaging in their conduct as a group, they are jointly and severally liable if such conduct can be imputed to them.

In this subpoena, the plaintiffs have drawn your court's attention to the class relationship among all of the defendants. The introduction to this subpoena includes an illustration regarding Gavi's partners. In this subpoena, it has been extensively stated and reasoned how the defendants who all belong to the circle of these partners actively cooperated in the implementation of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project and the widespread deception of citizens required for that purpose, including M..... c.s. Each of the defendants should have refrained from his or her unlawful conduct in connection with this project because doing so increased the likelihood of harm thus inflicted (by

taking a Covid-19 injection).

Defendants' defenses and rebuttal thereof.

Plaintiffs are not aware of any legally relevant defense by Defendants to Plaintiffs' claims, which is why Plaintiffs cannot address and rebut any such defense by Defendants in this Subpoena.

Offer of Evidence

To the extent that the plaintiffs bear the burden of proof under Section 150 Rv, the plaintiffs offer proof of all their contentions by all means in law. In particular, plaintiffs offer evidence by hearing witnesses and expert witnesses. In this connection, the following persons may be heard under oath.

- plaintiffs and defendants
- the doctors who, according to production 91, diagnosed plaintiffs
- MEP Cristian Terheş

Jurisdiction of the District Court of Noord-Nederland, location Leeuwarden

Section 107 Rv. provides that if a court has jurisdiction over one of the defendants jointly involved in the proceedings, that court also has jurisdiction over the other defendants, provided that there is such a connection between the claims against the various defendants that reasons of efficiency justify a joint hearing.

Defendant Hofstra is domiciled in Goutum, municipality of Leeuwarden, and therefore your court has jurisdiction under Section 99 Rv. to hear the claims against Hofstra. Because there is such a connection between the claims against the various defendants - who have acted in a group - that reasons of efficiency justify a joint treatment, your court has jurisdiction under article 107 with respect to all defendants to take cognizance of the claims brought by plaintiffs against them and to decide them.

Petitum

WITH CONCLUSION



That it may please the Court by judgment:

(1) Declare that the defendants as a group and each individually acted unlawfully against the plaintiffs by intentionally misleading them in an unlawful manner and thereby inducing them to have Covid-19 injections which the defendants knew, or at least should have known, were not safe and effective.

(2) order the defendants, jointly and severally, the one paying the other, to compensate the plaintiffs for their damages, to be recorded and settled according to the law.

(3) Order the defendants to pay the costs of these proceedings pursuant to an order for costs to be issued by your court for that purpose, enforceable by operation of law.

Bailiff

-1

Subpoena M..... c.s. / Hofstra c.s. PS/D100607 AK/2023008

[1]

[2] <https://www.gavi.org/our-alliance>

[3] The GGD is administratively part of Safety Region Fryslân.

[4] This advice goes to the Ministry of VWS (Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport) through the Bestuurlijk Afstemmingsoverleg (BAO). The BAO tests the substantive advice for administrative feasibility and practicability and ultimately determines the control policy.

[5] As will be explained below, Van Dissel also knew that the Covid-19 vaccinations had never been

tested for the prevention of transmission of the suspected virus.

[6] <https://decorrespondent.nl/11128/de-wereld-is-voorgoed-veranderd-door-corona-denkt-viroloog-marion-koopmans/e0013376-fee5-045c-3dab-ee24387670fa>

[7] Mr. Schwab who is one of the founders of the "Covid-19 The Great Reset" project will be discussed in more detail in this subpoena.

[8] The basic rules are still in place and are as follows: (1) when complaints arise, stay home and get tested; (2)

(3) wash your hands often and cough and sneeze into your elbow; (4) work at home as much as possible; (5) avoid crowds: leave when it is busy; (6) travel outside rush hour as much as possible; (7) wear a mouth mask in public indoor areas.

[9] Addition litigation attorney

[10] <http://reparti.free.fr/schwab2020.pdf>

[11] Frank Ruesink MBA / Founder of Loving Rebellion, Freedom Speaker & System Changer

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/frankruesink/?originalSubdomain=nl>

[12] Underlined by litigator

[13] Gommers is also a registered inventor of ventilation system (ADVS) for which patents were filed in the United States with the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) on September 30, 2016. A patent has since been granted in this regard under number 10773045. Furthermore, an application for a patent on this invention under number 20180093063 is still pending. The rights from the patent with number 10773045 have been transferred to Kirura Holding B.V.

[14] M..... et al. dispute that defendants, including Gommers were actually injected with a Covid-19 injection fluid.

[15] Convention on access to information, public participation in decision-making and access to justice in environmental matters, Aarhus, 25-06-1998

[16] <https://wetten.overheid.nl/BWBV0001700/2005-03-29>

[17] This can be compared to the EMA, EU/EEA Heads of Medicines Agencies and the European Medicines Agency (EMA).

[18] <https://www.pfizer.com/news/announcements/open-letter-pfizer-chairman-and-ceo-albert-bourla>

[19] This report has number 090177e196ea and is dated April 30, 2021

[20] This brand name, according to Pfizer, is composed of parts of the words Covid-19, community, immunity, and mRNA.

[21] Variants of this motto have been used in all languages worldwide.

[22] The name Moderna comes from a contraction of the words "Mode" and "RNA."

[23] This patent has a publication date of January 15, 2021, but was untraceable with common search terms, including the patent number, until and including mid-June 2021 with the search engine provided by WEF partner Google on the Internet, which the vast majority of the world's population uses.

[24] Bold and underlined reproduced by trial counsel.

[25] <https://over.nos.nl/organisatie/taken-en-missie/>

[26] Until September 1, 2022, Van Cann worked as deputy editor-in-chief alongside Mr. Gelauff who was the editor-in-chief until this date. Gelauff subsequently retired and, as far as M..... et al. can ascertain, was no longer active as a journalist.

[27] The versions of package inserts for the Pfizer vaccine Comirnaty, which were drafted differently over time, mention under E. 'Specific obligations to be met after the granting of a conditional authorization.' At that point, the planned end date of the trial, i.e. August 2024, was mentioned.

[28] The complete agenda 21 was placed on the USB flash drive.

[29] The complete agenda 2030 was placed on the USB stick.

[30] <https://databank.worldbank.org/>

[31] United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) and in consultation with organizations such as the International Trade Center, the United Nations Statistical Division (UNSD) and the World Trade Organization (WTO).

[32] The USB Stick contains the full patent.

[33] <https://www.gatesfoundation.org/>

[34] Cladistics or cladism (cladistics or cladism) is a method of analysis used in biosystematics to determine hypothesized evolutionary relationships between organisms. The word "Clade" is derived from this.

[35] <https://centerforhealthsecurity.org/who-we-are-at-the-center-for-health-security-0>

[36] Video footage of this production is on the USB flash drive.

[37] Bold and underlined by trial counsel.

[38] Dr. Fauci was the director of this organization from 1984 through 2002.

[39] <https://www.lareb.nl/>

[40] <https://www.medalerts.org/vaersdb/findfield.php> In the search system of this database, data entered by Lareb employees in Vaers can be found in the so-called expert mode. To do this, the DC Split Type: NLPFIZER, NLMODERNATX or NLJNJFOC must be entered under the 'Demographics' tab.

[41] The complete overview can be downloaded at

<https://knollfrank.github.io/HowBadIsMyBatch/batchCodes.html>

[42] T.F.E. Tjong Tjin Tai, De schadestaatprocedure, no. 401, with reference to, inter alia, HR 30 June 2006, ECLI:NL:HR:2006:AX6246, RvdW 2006, 681, para. 3.5.2 and HR 23 September 1988, ECLI:NL:HR:1988:AD5713, NJ 1989, 743 and S.D. Lindenbergh, GS Schadevergoeding, art. 6:97 BW, aant. 5.6.

[43] New York, 16-12-1966